

FSD1040

Presidential Elections 2000, First Round

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

©Finnish Social Science Data Archive, 2000

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Presidential Elections 2000, First Round [codebook]. Tampere : Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2007.

This codebook has been generated from the version 3.1 (1.8.2006) of the data.

Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

FSD Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 UNIVERSITY OF TAMPERE
tel. +358 3 3551 8519
fax +358 3 3551 8520
email: fsd@uta.fi
URI: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/>

Typeset by L^AT_EX

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1040 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The bibliographic citation given by FSD must be included in all publications where the data or any part of it is used. The bibliographic citation for this data is:

Presidential Elections 2000, First Round [computer file]. FSD1040, version 3.1 (2006-08-01). Espoo : Gallup Finland [data collection]. Tampere : Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor], 2006.

The depositor and the archive are not responsible for any results or interpretations arising from the secondary use of the data. The archive must be informed of all publications where the data or legally made copies of it have been used.

The beginning of the codebook contains information on data content, structure and collection, and includes a list of publications where the data have been used. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, answer options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percents (%), and valid percents (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics.

Distributions may contain missing data. The note “missing data (SYSMIS)” refers to a missing observation, mainly item nonresponse, whereas “missing data” refers to, for example, user missing data. In some cases users of the data have to consider whether it is best to code also other values as missing data (eg. don’t want to say or can’t say).

This codebook has been produced automatically with Python, L^AT_EX and dvipdfm software. Source files include data description files in DDI format, and SPSS files.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	57
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	57
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	62
A	Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties	67
B	Questionnaire in Finnish	69

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Title of the study: Presidential Elections 2000, First Round

Title of the study in Finnish: Presidentinvaalit 2000, 1. kierros

This codebook has been generated from the version 3.1 (1.8.2006) of the data. Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

1.2 Subject description

Extent of collection

Data: SPSS portable file. Data available also in other file formats.

Other material

Information on the Gallup Channel research system¹

Questionnaire: pdf file in Finnish (does not contain background variables)

Authoring entity

Gallup Finland

¹<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/background/gchannelge.html>

Copyright statement for the data

According to an agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Gallup Finland

Date deposited

16.3.2000

Keywords

advertising; elections; general elections; political support; presidential candidates; voting

Topic Classification

Classification by FSD vocabulary: political studies

Classification by CESSDA vocabulary: elections; mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Individual datasets

Individual datasets contain those datasets that do not belong to any series.

Abstract

The survey focused on the 2000 presidential elections in Finland. The respondents were asked whether they had voted in the first round of the presidential elections in the year 2000 and for which candidate they had voted. They were also asked when they had made their voting decision and how the media, advertising, close friends, candidate selectors on the Internet, or the candidate's campaign websites affected their voting decision. The respondents were asked whether they had voted in the first round of the 2000 presidential elections, and for which candidate they had voted. In addition, the respondents were presented with a list of various things and asked to say which of them affected their choice of candidate in the first round of the elections. Those who had not voted in the first round were asked the reason for abstaining. All respondents were asked about their opinion of the election campaign and advertising and whether they were going to vote in the second round of the elections, and for whom. The respondents were presented with statements about voting and the personality of the president, and they were asked to

express their opinion about them. Finally, the respondents were asked which party they would vote for in parliamentary elections if the elections were held at that time, which party they had voted for in the previous parliamentary elections, and for which candidate they had voted in the previous presidential elections.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, mother tongue, age, marital status, composition and income of the household, education, occupation, information on work, working hours, trade union membership, ownership of apartment, voting in elections, position on a left-right scale, social class, and dwelling place. The background variables have been updated in August 1999. The data also include a case id variable hl, which can be used to append this data to FSD1041.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographical coverage: Finland

Unit of analysis: Person

Universe: People aged 15 or over living in Finland, excluding the Åland Islands

Collection date: 2000

Data collector: Gallup Finland

Data producer: Gallup Finland

Mode of data collection: Telepanel survey (Gallup Channel)

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2000

Time method of the data collection: Panel study

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 113 variables and 1394 cases.

Sampling procedure: Three-stage stratified sample. The survey had 1,394 respondents of whom 1,229 also responded to the survey FSD1041, collected after the second round of the elections.

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The variables identifying respondent postal code and municipality have been removed from the data.

Related publications

Carlson, Tom (2002). Cirkusen som icke är: personfixering i ett finländskt väljarperspektiv. I: Den personliga politiken (red. Håkan Hvitfelt & Lauri Karvonen), 56-67. Sundsvall: Mit-

1. Study description

thögskolan. Demokratiinstitutet: Mitt i opinionen; 6.

Djupsund, Göran & Carlson, Tom (2003). Catching the 'Wired Voters'? Campaigning on the Internet. *Nordicom-Information* 25(1-2), 39-50.

Hellsten, Villiina & Holli, Anne Maria & Wass, Hanna (2007). Sukupuolenmukainen äänestäminen vuoden 2006 presidentinvaaleissa. Teoksessa: *Presidentinvaalit 2006* (toim. Isotalus, Pekka & Borg, Sami), 178-206. Helsinki: WSOY.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/catalogue/FSD1040/>

Related studies

FSD1041 Presidential Elections 2000, Second Round

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

Access to the data granted for scientific and teaching purposes; FSD's access application procedure.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1394
minimum	1040.00
maximum	1040.00
mean	1040.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1394
minimum	3.00
maximum	3.00
mean	3.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_PRO] FSD processing level**Question**

Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A (see codebook)	1	1394	100.0	100.0
B (see codebook)	2	0	0.0	0.0
C (data have not been checked at FSD)	3	0	0.0	0.0
		1394	100.0	100.0

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question**

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1394
minimum	1.00
maximum	1394.00
mean	697.50
standard deviation	402.56

[HL] Case id**Question**

Case id

No valid cases

[Q1] Did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections**Question**

Did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
voted in advance	1	535	38.4	38.4
on the actual election day	2	734	52.7	52.7
didn't vote	3	117	8.4	8.4
don't want to say	4	8	0.6	0.6
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q2] For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections**Question**

For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Esko Aho	1	304	21.8	24.0
Ilkka Hakalehto	2	17	1.2	1.3
Tarja Halonen	3	509	36.5	40.1
Heidi Hautala	4	61	4.4	4.8
Risto Kuisma	5	5	0.4	0.4
Elisabeth Rehn	6	92	6.6	7.2
Riitta Uosukainen	7	205	14.7	16.2
don't want to say	8	76	5.5	6.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q3] When did you finally decide for whom to vote in the first round of the presidential elections**Question**

When did you finally decide for whom to vote in the first round of the presidential elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
on the same day I voted	1	131	9.4	10.3
few days before voting	2	183	13.1	14.4
a week or two before voting	3	199	14.3	15.7

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
a month or two before voting	4	227	16.3	17.9
early in the autumn	5	407	29.2	32.1
years ago	6	113	8.1	8.9
can't say	7	9	0.6	0.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5A] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: newspapers

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	63	4.5	5.0
quite a lot	2	196	14.1	15.4
not very much	3	573	41.1	45.2
not at all	4	391	28.0	30.8
can't say	5	46	3.3	3.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5B] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: magazines

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: magazines

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	13	0.9	1.0
quite a lot	2	57	4.1	4.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
not very much	3	377	27.0	29.7
not at all	4	775	55.6	61.1
can't say	5	47	3.4	3.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5C] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: radio programmes

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: radio programmes

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	14	1.0	1.1
quite a lot	2	73	5.2	5.8
not very much	3	380	27.3	29.9
not at all	4	772	55.4	60.8
can't say	5	30	2.2	2.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5D] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: election debates on TV

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: election debates on TV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	218	15.6	17.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite a lot	2	349	25.0	27.5
not very much	3	423	30.3	33.3
not at all	4	262	18.8	20.6
can't say	5	17	1.2	1.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5E] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: news and current affairs programmes on TV

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: news and current affairs programmes on TV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	159	11.4	12.5
quite a lot	2	371	26.6	29.2
not very much	3	504	36.2	39.7
not at all	4	217	15.6	17.1
can't say	5	18	1.3	1.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5F] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising in newspapers

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising in newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	10	0.7	0.8
quite a lot	2	65	4.7	5.1
not very much	3	385	27.6	30.3
not at all	4	765	54.9	60.3
can't say	5	44	3.2	3.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5G] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising on TV

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising on TV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	33	2.4	2.6
quite a lot	2	76	5.5	6.0
not very much	3	406	29.1	32.0
not at all	4	721	51.7	56.8
can't say	5	33	2.4	2.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5H] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' campaign meetings

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' campaign meetings

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	25	1.8	2.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite a lot	2	45	3.2	3.5
not very much	3	89	6.4	7.0
not at all	4	1088	78.0	85.7
can't say	5	22	1.6	1.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5I] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: friends, colleagues, relatives

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: friends, colleagues, relatives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	16	1.1	1.3
quite a lot	2	56	4.0	4.4
not very much	3	355	25.5	28.0
not at all	4	813	58.3	64.1
can't say	5	29	2.1	2.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5J] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: entertainment programmes on TV

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: entertainment programmes on TV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	12	0.9	0.9
quite a lot	2	35	2.5	2.8
not very much	3	268	19.2	21.1
not at all	4	920	66.0	72.5
can't say	5	34	2.4	2.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5K] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidate selectors on the Internet

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidate selectors on the Internet

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	8	0.6	0.6
quite a lot	2	46	3.3	3.6
not very much	3	164	11.8	12.9
not at all	4	1039	74.5	81.9
can't say	5	12	0.9	0.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q5L] How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' web pages

Question

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' web pages

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very much	1	3	0.2	0.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
quite a lot	2	15	1.1	1.2
not very much	3	87	6.2	6.9
not at all	4	1149	82.4	90.5
can't say	5	15	1.1	1.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6A] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's appearance on TV

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's appearance on TV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	101	7.2	8.0
strong influence	2	304	21.8	24.0
some influence	3	469	33.6	37.0
no influence at all	4	369	26.5	29.1
can't say	5	26	1.9	2.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6C] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's sex

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's sex

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	145	10.4	11.4
strong influence	2	170	12.2	13.4
some influence	3	269	19.3	21.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
no influence at all	4	674	48.4	53.1
can't say	5	11	0.8	0.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6D] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's native language

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's native language

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	147	10.5	11.6
strong influence	2	142	10.2	11.2
some influence	3	222	15.9	17.5
no influence at all	4	745	53.4	58.7
can't say	5	13	0.9	1.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6E] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate promotes interests of respondent's reference group

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate promotes interests of respondent's reference group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	158	11.3	12.5
strong influence	2	231	16.6	18.2
some influence	3	329	23.6	25.9
no influence at all	4	514	36.9	40.5

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	5	37	2.7	2.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6G] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate has pursued a good policy on recent issues

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate has pursued a good policy on recent issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	333	23.9	26.2
strong influence	2	474	34.0	37.4
some influence	3	326	23.4	25.7
no influence at all	4	102	7.3	8.0
can't say	5	34	2.4	2.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6H] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate is competent to deal with important issues

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate is competent to deal with important issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	643	46.1	50.7
strong influence	2	427	30.6	33.6
some influence	3	153	11.0	12.1
no influence at all	4	29	2.1	2.3
can't say	5	17	1.2	1.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6I] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's expertise in foreign policy

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's expertise in foreign policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	457	32.8	36.0
strong influence	2	402	28.8	31.7
some influence	3	257	18.4	20.3
no influence at all	4	116	8.3	9.1
can't say	5	37	2.7	2.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6J] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's experience and performance in international circles

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's experience and performance in international circles

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	367	26.3	28.9
strong influence	2	424	30.4	33.4
some influence	3	300	21.5	23.6
no influence at all	4	144	10.3	11.3
can't say	5	34	2.4	2.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6K] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ideological views are similar to respondent's views

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ideological views are similar to respondent's views

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	350	25.1	27.6
strong influence	2	322	23.1	25.4
some influence	3	279	20.0	22.0
no influence at all	4	266	19.1	21.0
can't say	5	52	3.7	4.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6L] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on refugees

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on refugees

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	64	4.6	5.0
strong influence	2	116	8.3	9.1
some influence	3	357	25.6	28.1
no influence at all	4	573	41.1	45.2
can't say	5	159	11.4	12.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6M] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on economic issues

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on economic issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	117	8.4	9.2
strong influence	2	395	28.3	31.1
some influence	3	465	33.4	36.6
no influence at all	4	197	14.1	15.5
can't say	5	95	6.8	7.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6N] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on social policy

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on social policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	179	12.8	14.1
strong influence	2	395	28.3	31.1
some influence	3	459	32.9	36.2
no influence at all	4	166	11.9	13.1
can't say	5	70	5.0	5.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6O] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on regional policy

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on regional policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	133	9.5	10.5
strong influence	2	242	17.4	19.1
some influence	3	408	29.3	32.2
no influence at all	4	362	26.0	28.5
can't say	5	124	8.9	9.8
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6P] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on issues related to families with children

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on issues related to families with children

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	158	11.3	12.5
strong influence	2	266	19.1	21.0
some influence	3	376	27.0	29.6
no influence at all	4	351	25.2	27.7
can't say	5	118	8.5	9.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6Q] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's political party

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	256	18.4	20.2
strong influence	2	236	16.9	18.6
some influence	3	303	21.7	23.9
no influence at all	4	453	32.5	35.7
can't say	5	21	1.5	1.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6CC] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's potential Nato membership**Question**

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's potential Nato membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	99	7.1	7.8
strong influence	2	220	15.8	17.3
some influence	3	354	25.4	27.9
no influence at all	4	498	35.7	39.2
can't say	5	98	7.0	7.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6DD] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's policies in the EU**Question**

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's policies in the EU

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	127	9.1	10.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
strong influence	2	333	23.9	26.2
some influence	3	407	29.2	32.1
no influence at all	4	300	21.5	23.6
can't say	5	102	7.3	8.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6EE] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Russia

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Russia

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	98	7.0	7.7
strong influence	2	277	19.9	21.8
some influence	3	397	28.5	31.3
no influence at all	4	371	26.6	29.2
can't say	5	126	9.0	9.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6FF] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to improve employment situation

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to improve employment situation

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	114	8.2	9.0
strong influence	2	320	23.0	25.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
some influence	3	439	31.5	34.6
no influence at all	4	294	21.1	23.2
can't say	5	102	7.3	8.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6GG] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's security and defence policy

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's security and defence policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	178	12.8	14.0
strong influence	2	388	27.8	30.6
some influence	3	434	31.1	34.2
no influence at all	4	182	13.1	14.3
can't say	5	87	6.2	6.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6HH] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on equality between men and women

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on equality between men and women

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	110	7.9	8.7
strong influence	2	247	17.7	19.5
some influence	3	361	25.9	28.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
no influence at all	4	457	32.8	36.0
can't say	5	94	6.7	7.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6II] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to reduce poverty and prevent people from alienating themselves from the society

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to reduce poverty and prevent people from alienating themselves from the society

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	174	12.5	13.7
strong influence	2	312	22.4	24.6
some influence	3	417	29.9	32.9
no influence at all	4	253	18.1	19.9
can't say	5	113	8.1	8.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6KK] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to reduce criminality

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to reduce criminality

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	95	6.8	7.5
strong influence	2	242	17.4	19.1
some influence	3	397	28.5	31.3

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
no influence at all	4	349	25.0	27.5
can't say	5	186	13.3	14.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6LL] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's effect on Finland's image abroad

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's effect on Finland's image abroad

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	315	22.6	24.8
strong influence	2	372	26.7	29.3
some influence	3	327	23.5	25.8
no influence at all	4	189	13.6	14.9
can't say	5	66	4.7	5.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6MM] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on environmental issues

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on environmental issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	96	6.9	7.6
strong influence	2	221	15.9	17.4
some influence	3	478	34.3	37.7
no influence at all	4	338	24.2	26.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	5	136	9.8	10.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6OO] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's success in opinion polls

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's success in opinion polls

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	29	2.1	2.3
strong influence	2	49	3.5	3.9
some influence	3	144	10.3	11.3
no influence at all	4	1014	72.7	79.9
can't say	5	33	2.4	2.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6U] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ability as a public performer

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ability as a public performer

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	339	24.3	26.7
strong influence	2	464	33.3	36.6
some influence	3	328	23.5	25.8
no influence at all	4	118	8.5	9.3
can't say	5	20	1.4	1.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6X] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's elegant appearance and stylishness

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's elegant appearance and stylishness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	241	17.3	19.0
strong influence	2	275	19.7	21.7
some influence	3	368	26.4	29.0
no influence at all	4	355	25.5	28.0
can't say	5	30	2.2	2.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6AA] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's determination and assertiveness

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's determination and assertiveness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	378	27.1	29.8
strong influence	2	492	35.3	38.8
some influence	3	309	22.2	24.3
no influence at all	4	67	4.8	5.3
can't say	5	23	1.6	1.8
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q6BB] Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's irreproachable private life

Question

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's irreproachable private life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	130	9.3	10.2
strong influence	2	180	12.9	14.2
some influence	3	215	15.4	16.9
no influence at all	4	685	49.1	54.0
can't say	5	59	4.2	4.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	125	9.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7B] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	20	1.4	17.1
strong influence	2	10	0.7	8.5
some influence	3	23	1.6	19.7
no influence at all	4	58	4.2	49.6
can't say	5	6	0.4	5.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7C] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: force majeure**Question**

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: force majeure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	27	1.9	23.1
strong influence	2	5	0.4	4.3
some influence	3	11	0.8	9.4
no influence at all	4	71	5.1	60.7
can't say	5	3	0.2	2.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7D] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not remember to vote**Question**

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not remember to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	0	0.0	0.0
strong influence	2	0	0.0	0.0
some influence	3	7	0.5	6.0
no influence at all	4	108	7.7	92.3
can't say	5	2	0.1	1.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7E] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not bother to vote**Question**

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not bother to vote

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	20	1.4	17.1
strong influence	2	19	1.4	16.2
some influence	3	18	1.3	15.4
no influence at all	4	55	3.9	47.0
can't say	5	5	0.4	4.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7F] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: one vote makes no difference

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: one vote makes no difference

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	11	0.8	9.4
strong influence	2	8	0.6	6.8
some influence	3	16	1.1	13.7
no influence at all	4	70	5.0	59.8
can't say	5	12	0.9	10.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7G] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: mistrusts politicians and politics

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: mistrusts politicians and politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	29	2.1	24.8
strong influence	2	15	1.1	12.8
some influence	3	22	1.6	18.8
no influence at all	4	48	3.4	41.0
can't say	5	3	0.2	2.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7H] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: wanted to protest against politicians and politics

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: wanted to protest against politicians and politics

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	12	0.9	10.3
strong influence	2	11	0.8	9.4
some influence	3	9	0.6	7.7
no influence at all	4	81	5.8	69.2
can't say	5	4	0.3	3.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7I] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	18	1.3	15.4
strong influence	2	15	1.1	12.8
some influence	3	22	1.6	18.8
no influence at all	4	58	4.2	49.6
can't say	5	4	0.3	3.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7J] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: the result was predictable, no need to vote

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: the result was predictable, no need to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	13	0.9	11.1
strong influence	2	13	0.9	11.1
some influence	3	20	1.4	17.1
no influence at all	4	65	4.7	55.6
can't say	5	6	0.4	5.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q7K] Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: bad weather on the election day

Question

Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: bad weather on the election day

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very strong influence, deciding factor	1	0	0.0	0.0
strong influence	2	2	0.1	1.7
some influence	3	3	0.2	2.6
no influence at all	4	110	7.9	94.0
can't say	5	2	0.1	1.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1277	91.6	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q8] Did the campaign in the first round of the elections concentrate more on images or issues?

Question

Did the campaign in the first round of the elections concentrate more on images or issues?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
more on issues	1	471	33.8	33.8
more on image	2	642	46.1	46.1
can't say	3	281	20.2	20.2
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_1] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Esko Aho**Question**

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Esko Aho

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	235	16.9	16.9
yes	1	1159	83.1	83.1
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_2] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Ilkka Hakalehto.**Question**

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Ilkka Hakalehto.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	1313	94.2	94.2
yes	1	81	5.8	5.8
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_3] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Tarja Halonen.

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Tarja Halonen.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	331	23.7	23.7
yes	1	1063	76.3	76.3
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_4] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Heidi Hautala.

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Heidi Hautala.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	1185	85.0	85.0
yes	1	209	15.0	15.0
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_5] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Risto Kuisma.

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Risto Kuisma.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	1303	93.5	93.5
yes	1	91	6.5	6.5
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_6] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Elisabeth Rehn.

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Elisabeth Rehn.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	651	46.7	46.7
yes	1	743	53.3	53.3
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_7] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Riitta Uosukainen.

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Riitta Uosukainen.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	404	29.0	29.0
yes	1	990	71.0	71.0
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_8] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: didn't see any

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: didn't see any

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	1274	91.4	91.4
yes	1	120	8.6	8.6
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q9_9] Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: don't want to say

Question

Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: don't want to say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no	0	1367	98.1	98.1
yes	1	27	1.9	1.9
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q10] Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Esko Aho

Question

Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Esko Aho

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very convincing	1	82	5.9	7.1
rather convincing	2	319	22.9	27.5
not very convincing	3	420	30.1	36.2
not at all convincing	4	255	18.3	22.0
can't say	5	83	6.0	7.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	235	16.9	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q11] Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Tarja Halonen

Question

Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Tarja Halonen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very convincing	1	149	10.7	14.0
rather convincing	2	474	34.0	44.6
not very convincing	3	260	18.7	24.5
not at all convincing	4	107	7.7	10.1
can't say	5	73	5.2	6.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	331	23.7	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q12] Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Riitta Uosukainen

Question

Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Riitta Uosukainen

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very convincing	1	52	3.7	5.3
rather convincing	2	224	16.1	22.6
not very convincing	3	393	28.2	39.7
not at all convincing	4	247	17.7	24.9
can't say	5	74	5.3	7.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	404	29.0	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q13] Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Elisabeth Rehn

Question

Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Elisabeth Rehn

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
very convincing	1	25	1.8	3.4
rather convincing	2	171	12.3	23.0
not very convincing	3	290	20.8	39.0
not at all convincing	4	189	13.6	25.4
can't say	5	68	4.9	9.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	651	46.7	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q14] If the next round of the presidential elections were held right now, for whom would you vote

Question

If the next round of the presidential elections were held right now, for whom would you vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Esko Aho	1	449	32.2	32.2
Tarja Halonen	2	737	52.9	52.9
wouldn't vote	3	68	4.9	4.9
can't say	4	140	10.0	10.0
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q15] Are you going to vote in the second round of the presidential elections

Question

Are you going to vote in the second round of the presidential elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
definitely yes	1	1072	76.9	76.9
probably yes	2	201	14.4	14.4
probably not	3	53	3.8	3.8
definitely not	4	48	3.4	3.4
can't say	5	20	1.4	1.4
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q16] When are you going to make the final voting decision regarding the next round of the presidential elections

Question

When are you going to make the final voting decision regarding the next round of the presidential elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
decided before the first round	1	641	46.0	47.6
decided immediately after the first round	2	481	34.5	35.7
week before the second round	3	34	2.4	2.5
few days before the second round	4	53	3.8	3.9
on the election day	5	81	5.8	6.0
can't say	6	56	4.0	4.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	48	3.4	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q17_1] Voting in the presidential elections is a civic duty

Question

Voting in the presidential elections is a civic duty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
fully agree	1	930	66.7	66.7
agree to some extent	2	292	20.9	20.9
disagree to some extent	3	83	6.0	6.0
fully disagree	4	67	4.8	4.8
can't say	5	22	1.6	1.6

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q17_2] The candidate I voted for wasn't my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had better chances to make it to the next round

Question

The candidate I voted for wasn't my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had better chances to make it to the next round

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
fully agree	1	96	6.9	6.9
agree to some extent	2	97	7.0	7.0
disagree to some extent	3	117	8.4	8.4
fully disagree	4	1001	71.8	71.8
can't say	5	83	6.0	6.0
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q17_3] By voting in the presidential elections one can make a difference

Question

By voting in the presidential elections one can make a difference

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
fully agree	1	526	37.7	37.7
agree to some extent	2	544	39.0	39.0
disagree to some extent	3	181	13.0	13.0
fully disagree	4	104	7.5	7.5
can't say	5	39	2.8	2.8
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q17_4] I think that the president has to be a man

Question

I think that the president has to be a man

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
fully agree	1	117	8.4	8.4
agree to some extent	2	145	10.4	10.4
disagree to some extent	3	194	13.9	13.9
fully disagree	4	858	61.5	61.5
can't say	5	80	5.7	5.7
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q18] If parliamentary elections were held right now, which party would you vote for**Question**

If parliamentary elections were held right now, which party would you vote for

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland	1	247	17.7	17.7
Center Party of Finland	2	200	14.3	14.3
National Coalition Party	3	223	16.0	16.0
Left Alliance	4	68	4.9	4.9
Swedish People's Party in Finland	5	22	1.6	1.6
Green League	6	141	10.1	10.1
Christian League of Finland	7	35	2.5	2.5
True Finns	8	15	1.1	1.1
Reform Group	9	6	0.4	0.4
other party / group	10	13	0.9	0.9
wouldn't vote	11	64	4.6	4.6
can't say	12	273	19.6	19.6
don't want to say	13	87	6.2	6.2
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q19] Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999**Question**

Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland	1	239	17.1	17.1
Centre Party of Finland	2	194	13.9	13.9
National Coalition Party	3	245	17.6	17.6
Left Alliance	4	96	6.9	6.9
Swedish People's Party in Finland	5	27	1.9	1.9
Green League	6	144	10.3	10.3
Christian League of Finland	7	44	3.2	3.2
True Finns	8	15	1.1	1.1
Reform Group	9	10	0.7	0.7
Progressive Finnish Party	10	11	0.8	0.8
didn't vote	11	183	13.1	13.1
didn't have the right to vote (franchise)	12	16	1.1	1.1
other party	13	21	1.5	1.5
can't say	14	51	3.7	3.7
don't want to say	15	98	7.0	7.0
		1394	100.0	100.0

[Q20] For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections in 1994

Question

For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections in 1994

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Martti Ahtisaari	1	380	27.3	27.3
Sulo Aittoniemi	2	7	0.5	0.5
Claes Andersson	3	43	3.1	3.1
Raimo Ilaskivi	4	84	6.0	6.0
Toimi Kankaanniemi	5	5	0.4	0.4
Keijo Korhonen	6	15	1.1	1.1
Eeva Kuuskoski	7	30	2.2	2.2
Elisabeth Rehn	8	360	25.8	25.8
Pekka Tiainen	9	1	0.1	0.1
Pertti Virtanen	10	37	2.7	2.7
Paavo Väyrynen	11	109	7.8	7.8
didn't vote	12	74	5.3	5.3
didn't have the right to vote (franchise)	13	61	4.4	4.4
don't remember	14	130	9.3	9.3
don't want to say	15	58	4.2	4.2
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's sex**Question***Respondent's sex***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
female	1	699	50.1	50.1
male	2	695	49.9	49.9
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV2] Respondent's native language**Question***Respondent's native language***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	1359	97.5	97.5
Swedish	2	29	2.1	2.1
other	3	6	0.4	0.4
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV3] Respondent's year of birth**Question***Respondent's year of birth***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1394
minimum	1900.00
maximum	1983.00
mean	1956.05
standard deviation	13.00

[BV4] Respondent's marital status**Question***Respondent's marital status***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
single	1	175	12.6	12.6
cohabiting	2	235	16.9	16.9
married	3	863	61.9	62.0
widow	4	28	2.0	2.0
divorced	5	92	6.6	6.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV5] Respondent's dwelling situation (with parents, with children...)**Question***Respondent's dwelling situation (with parents, with children...)***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
lives with parents	1	52	3.7	3.7
lives with children	2	44	3.2	3.2
own household	3	1297	93.0	93.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV6] Number of persons in the household**Question***Number of persons in the household***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1281

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

statistic	value
minimum	1.00
maximum	10.00
mean	2.98
standard deviation	1.44

[BV7] Number of children under 21 years

Question

Number of children under 21 years

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1132
minimum	0.00
maximum	8.00
mean	1.26
standard deviation	1.32

[BV8] Age when respondent finished studying full-time

Question

Age when respondent finished studying full-time

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1393
minimum	1.00
maximum	57.00
mean	19.10
standard deviation	7.63

[BV9] Respondent's basic education

Question

Respondent's basic education

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
primary school or less	1	358	25.7	25.7
lower secondary school	2	601	43.1	43.1
upper secondary school	3	434	31.1	31.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV10] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
no vocational education	1	133	9.5	10.5
vocational course or other short vocational training	2	234	16.8	18.5
vocational/commercial school or other voc. qualification	3	410	29.4	32.4
college level vocational qualification	4	319	22.9	25.2
vocational high school (polytechnic) diploma	5	20	1.4	1.6
academic degree	6	120	8.6	9.5
other	7	30	2.2	2.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	128	9.2	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV11] Respondent's occupational group

Question

Respondent's occupational group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
managerial position in the employ of someone else	1	39	2.8	2.8
employed professional, upper level employee	2	128	9.2	9.2
employed position, lower level employee, clerical worker	3	256	18.4	18.4
	4	399	28.6	28.6

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
entrepreneur or self-employed person	5	73	5.2	5.2
farmer, agricultural occupations	6	34	2.4	2.4
unemployed	7	103	7.4	7.4
student	8	97	7.0	7.0
retired	9	197	14.1	14.1
housewife	10	40	2.9	2.9
other	11	27	1.9	1.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV12] Respondent's employment sector**Question***Respondent's employment sector***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
agriculture, forestry, fishing	1	26	1.9	2.8
industry and construction	2	210	15.1	22.8
trade	3	62	4.4	6.7
other private services	4	172	12.3	18.7
public services	5	329	23.6	35.7
other sector	6	90	6.5	9.8
not working	7	28	2.0	3.0
can't say	8	5	0.4	0.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	472	33.9	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV13] Type of respondent's employer**Question***Type of respondent's employer***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
state	1	84	6.0	9.4

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
municipality, federation of municipalities	2	227	16.3	25.5
organization, association	3	32	2.3	3.6
state enterprise	4	46	3.3	5.2
private company/enterprise	5	389	27.9	43.8
church, parish	6	6	0.4	0.7
other employer	7	54	3.9	6.1
self-employed, entrepreneur or assisting	8	51	3.7	5.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	505	36.2	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV14] How many hours per week do you normally work

Question

How many hours per week do you normally work

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
full-time, about 40 hours per week	1	807	57.9	87.5
part-time, less than 40 hours per week	2	115	8.2	12.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	472	33.9	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV15] Respondent's membership in a trade union

Question

Respondent's membership in a trade union

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
SAK (Central Organization of Finnish Trade Unions)	1	372	26.7	36.3
Akava (Confed. of Unions for Academic Professionals)	2	121	8.7	11.8
STTK (Finnish Confederation of Salaried Employees)	3	191	13.7	18.6
not a member of any trade union	4	269	19.3	26.2

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
can't say	5	72	5.2	7.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	369	26.5	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV16] Household's annual gross income**Question***Household's annual gross income***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
< 50.000 mk	1	42	3.0	3.3
50.001 - 75.000 mk	2	56	4.0	4.4
75.001 - 100.000 mk	3	94	6.7	7.3
100.001 - 125.000 mk	4	111	8.0	8.7
125.001 - 150.000 mk	5	133	9.5	10.4
150.001 - 175.000 mk	6	132	9.5	10.3
175.001 - 200.000 mk	7	137	9.8	10.7
200.001 - 225.000 mk	8	128	9.2	10.0
225.000 - 250.000 mk	9	100	7.2	7.8
250.001 - 275.000 mk	10	97	7.0	7.6
275.001 - 300.000 mk	11	64	4.6	5.0
300.001 - 325.000 mk	12	44	3.2	3.4
325.001 - 350.000 mk	13	34	2.4	2.7
> 350.000 mk	14	81	5.8	6.3
can't say	15	28	2.0	2.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	113	8.1	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV17] Ownership of the dwelling of residence**Question***Ownership of the dwelling of residence***Frequencies**

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
own house/flat	1	844	60.5	65.9
rented	2	382	27.4	29.8
dwelling provided by the employer	3	20	1.4	1.6
other	4	35	2.5	2.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	113	8.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV18] If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Question

If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland	1	209	15.0	15.0
Centre Party of Finland	2	183	13.1	13.1
National Coalition Party	3	233	16.7	16.7
Left Alliance	4	72	5.2	5.2
Green League	5	155	11.1	11.1
Swedish People's Party in Finland	6	24	1.7	1.7
Christian League of Finland	7	29	2.1	2.1
True Finns	8	9	0.6	0.6
other party	9	11	0.8	0.8
other group	10	7	0.5	0.5
wouldn't vote	11	145	10.4	10.4
can't say	12	316	22.7	22.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV19] Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999

Question

Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland	1	229	16.4	16.4
Centre Party of Finland	2	212	15.2	15.2
National Coalition Party	3	230	16.5	16.5
Left Alliance	4	95	6.8	6.8
Green League	5	145	10.4	10.4
Swedish People's Party in Finland	6	27	1.9	1.9
Christian League of Finland	7	48	3.4	3.4
Progressive Finnish Party	8	10	0.7	0.7
True Finns	9	11	0.8	0.8
other party	10	23	1.6	1.7
other group	11	8	0.6	0.6
didn't vote	12	236	16.9	16.9
did not have the right to vote (franchise)	13	16	1.1	1.1
can't say	14	28	2.0	2.0
don't want to say	15	75	5.4	5.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	—
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV20] Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament elections in June 1999

Question

Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament elections in June 1999

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland	1	148	10.6	10.6
Centre Party of Finland	2	143	10.3	10.3
National Coalition Party	3	196	14.1	14.1
Left Alliance	4	66	4.7	4.7
Green League	5	163	11.7	11.7
Swedish People's Party in Finland	6	19	1.4	1.4
Christian League of Finland	7	22	1.6	1.6
Progressive Finnish Party	8	1	0.1	0.1
True Finns	9	9	0.6	0.6
other party	10	16	1.1	1.1
other group	11	3	0.2	0.2
didn't vote	12	503	36.1	36.1
did not have the right to vote (franchise)	13	14	1.0	1.0
can't say	14	30	2.2	2.2
don't want to say	15	60	4.3	4.3

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV21] Respondent's political orientation (left-right axis)

Question

Respondent's political orientation (left-right axis)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
left on the political map	1	95	6.8	6.8
somewhat to the left on the political map	2	318	22.8	22.8
somewhat to the right on the political map	3	421	30.2	30.2
right on the political map	4	188	13.5	13.5
can't say	5	371	26.6	26.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV22] Respondent's social class

Question

Respondent's social class

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
working class	1	413	29.6	29.6
lower middle class	2	478	34.3	34.3
higher middle class	3	348	25.0	25.0
upper class	4	7	0.5	0.5
can't say	5	147	10.5	10.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	1	0.1	–
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV25] Household size**Question***Household size***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1394
minimum	1.00
maximum	11.00
mean	2.86
standard deviation	1.55

[BV26] Respondent's age**Question***Respondent's age***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1394
minimum	18.00
maximum	77.00
mean	42.91
standard deviation	12.91

[BV27] Respondent's place of residence**Question***Respondent's place of residence***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki	1	187	13.4	13.4
Turku, Tampere	2	115	8.2	8.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
other city	3	448	32.1	32.1
rural municipality	4	421	30.2	30.2
Espoo, Vantaa, Kauniainen	5	114	8.2	8.2
city in Uusimaa region	6	46	3.3	3.3
rural municipality in Uusimaa region	7	63	4.5	4.5
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV28] Municipality group

Question

Municipality group

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
urban municipality	1	846	60.7	60.7
densely populated municipality	2	215	15.4	15.4
rural municipality	3	333	23.9	23.9
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV29] Municipality group 2

Question

Municipality group 2

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Helsinki metropolitan area	1	301	21.6	21.6
urban municipality	2	545	39.1	39.1
densely populated municipality	3	215	15.4	15.4
rural municipality	4	333	23.9	23.9
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV30] Respondent's province

Question

Respondent's province

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	411	29.5	29.5
Turku and Pori	2	185	13.3	13.3
Häme	3	219	15.7	15.7
Kymi	4	82	5.9	5.9
Mikkeli	5	72	5.2	5.2
Kuopio	6	64	4.6	4.6
Keski-Suomi	7	59	4.2	4.2
Vaasa	8	118	8.5	8.5
Pohjois-Karjala	9	21	1.5	1.5
Oulu	10	117	8.4	8.4
Lappi	11	46	3.3	3.3
		1394	100.0	100.0

[BV31] Region**Question***Region***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Uusimaa	1	411	29.5	29.5
Varsinais-Suomi	2	133	9.5	9.5
Satakunta	3	50	3.6	3.6
Häme	4	55	3.9	3.9
Pirkanmaa	5	89	6.4	6.4
Päijät-Häme	6	106	7.6	7.6
Kymenlaakso	7	42	3.0	3.0
Etelä-Karjala	8	34	2.4	2.4
Etelä-Savo	9	49	3.5	3.5
Pohjois-Savo	10	64	4.6	4.6
Pohjois-Karjala	11	21	1.5	1.5
Keski-Suomi	12	59	4.2	4.2
Etelä-Pohjanmaa	13	50	3.6	3.6
Vaasa coastal area	14	35	2.5	2.5
Keski-Pohjanmaa	15	33	2.4	2.4
Pohjois-Pohjanmaa	16	90	6.5	6.5
Kainuu	17	27	1.9	1.9
Lappi	18	46	3.3	3.3
		1394	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD processing level [FSD_PRO]	6
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Case id [HL]	6
Did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections [Q1]	6
For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections [Q2]	7
When did you finally decide for whom to vote in the first round of the presidential elections [Q3]	7
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: newspapers [Q5A]	8
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: magazines [Q5B]	8
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: radio programmes [Q5C]	9
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: election debates on TV [Q5D]	9
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: news and current affairs programmes on TV [Q5E]	10
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising in newspapers [Q5F]	10
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising on TV [Q5G]	11
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' campaign meetings [Q5H]	11

3. Indexes

How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: friends, colleagues, relatives [Q5I]	12
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: entertainment programmes on TV [Q5J]	12
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidate selectors on the Internet [Q5K]	13
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' web pages [Q5L]	13
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's appearance on TV [Q6A]	14
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's sex [Q6C]	14
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's native language [Q6D]	15
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate promotes interests of respondent's reference group [Q6E]	15
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate has pursued a good policy on recent issues [Q6G]	16
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate is competent to deal with important issues [Q6H]	16
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's expertise in foreign policy [Q6I]	17
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's experience and performance in international circles [Q6J]	17
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ideological views are similar to respondent's views [Q6K]	18
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on refugees [Q6L]	18
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on economic issues [Q6M]	19
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on social policy [Q6N]	19
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on regional policy [Q6O]	20
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on issues related to families with children [Q6P]	20
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's political party [Q6Q]	20
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's potential Nato membership [Q6CC]	21
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on	

Finland's policies in the EU [Q6DD]	21
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Russia [Q6EE]	22
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to improve employment situation [Q6FF]	22
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Finland's security and defence policy [Q6GG]	23
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on equality between men and women [Q6HH]	23
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to reduce poverty and prevent people from alienating themselves from the society [Q6II] ..	24
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on how to reduce criminality [Q6KK]	24
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's effect on Finland's image abroad [Q6LL]	25
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on environmental issues [Q6MM]	25
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's success in opinion polls [Q6OO]	26
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ability as a public performer [Q6U]	26
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's elegant appearance and stylishness [Q6X]	27
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's determination and assertiveness [Q6AA]	27
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's irreproachable private life [Q6BB]	28
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate [Q7B]	28
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: force majeure [Q7C]	29
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not remember to vote [Q7D]	29
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not bother to vote [Q7E]	29
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: one vote makes no difference [Q7F] ...	30
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: mistrusts politicians and politics [Q7G]	30
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: wanted to protest against politicians and politics [Q7H]	31
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate [Q7I] ..	31
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: the result was predictable, no need to vote [Q7J]	32
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: bad weather on the election day [Q7K]	32

3. Indexes

Did the campaign in the first round of the elections concentrate more on images or issues? [Q8]	32
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Esko Aho [Q9_1]	33
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Ilkka Hakalehto. [Q9_2]	33
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Tarja Halonen. [Q9_3]	34
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Heidi Hautala. [Q9_4]	34
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Risto Kuisma. [Q9_5]	34
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Elisabeth Rehn. [Q9_6]	35
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Riitta Uosukainen. [Q9_7]	35
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: didn't see any [Q9_8]	35
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: don't want to say [Q9_9]	36
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Esko Aho [Q10]	36
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Tarja Halonen [Q11]	37
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Riitta Uosukainen [Q12]	37
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Elisabeth Rehn [Q13]	38
If the next round of the presidential elections were held right now, for whom would you vote [Q14]	38
Are you going to vote in the second round of the presidential elections [Q15]	38
When are you going to make the final voting decision regarding the next round of the presidential elections [Q16]	39
Voting in the presidential elections is a civic duty [Q17_1]	39
The candidate I voted for wasn't my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had better chances to make it to the next round [Q17_2]	40
By voting in the presidential elections one can make a difference [Q17_3]	40
I think that the president has to be a man [Q17_4]	40
If parliamentary elections were held right now, which party would you vote for [Q18]	41

Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999 [Q19]	41
For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections in 1994 [Q20]	42
Respondent's sex [BV1]	43
Respondent's native language [BV2]	43
Respondent's year of birth [BV3]	43
Respondent's marital status [BV4]	44
Respondent's dwelling situation (with parents, with children...) [BV5]	44
Number of persons in the household [BV6]	44
Number of children under 21 years [BV7]	45
Age when respondent finished studying full-time [BV8]	45
Respondent's basic education [BV9]	45
Respondent's vocational education [BV10]	46
Respondent's occupational group [BV11]	46
Respondent's employment sector [BV12]	47
Type of respondent's employer [BV13]	47
How many hours per week do you normally work [BV14]	48
Respondent's membership in a trade union [BV15]	48
Household's annual gross income [BV16]	49
Ownership of the dwelling of residence [BV17]	49
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for? [BV18]	50
Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999 [BV19]	50
Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament elections in June 1999 [BV20] ...	51
Respondent's political orientation (left-right axis) [BV21]	52
Respondent's social class [BV22]	52
Household size [BV25]	53
Respondent's age [BV26]	53
Respondent's place of residence [BV27]	53
Municipality group [BV28]	54
Municipality group 2 [BV29]	54
Respondent's province [BV30]	54
Region [BV31]	55

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Age when respondent finished studying full-time	45
Are you going to vote in the second round of the presidential elections	38
By voting in the presidential elections one can make a difference	40
Case id	6
Did the campaign in the first round of the elections concentrate more on images or issues? .	32
Did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections	6
For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections	7
For whom did you vote in the first round of the presidential elections in 1994	42
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD processing level	6
FSD study number	5
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: didn't see any	35
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: don't want to say	36
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Elisabeth Rehn.	35
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Esko Aho	33
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Heidi Hautala.	34
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Ilkka Hakalehto.	33
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Riitta Uosukainen.	35
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Risto Kuisma.	34
Has seen candidate's political advertisements on TV before the first round of the elections: Tarja Halonen.	34
Household size	53
Household's annual gross income	49
How many hours per week do you normally work	48
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidate selectors on the Internet	13
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision	

in the first round of the elections: candidates' campaign meetings	11
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: candidates' web pages	13
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: election debates on TV	9
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: entertainment programmes on TV	12
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: friends, colleagues, relatives	12
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: magazines	8
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: news and current affairs programmes on TV	10
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: newspapers	8
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising in newspapers	10
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: political advertising on TV	11
How much information did you receive from the following source to help your voting decision in the first round of the elections: radio programmes	9
I think that the president has to be a man	40
If parliamentary elections were held right now, which party would you vote for	41
If the next round of the presidential elections were held right now, for whom would you vote	38
If the parliamentary elections were held now, which party would you vote for?	50
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate has pursued a good policy on recent issues	16
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate is competent to deal with important issues	16
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate promotes interests of respondent's reference group	15
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's ability as a public performer	26
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's appearance on TV	14
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's determination and assertiveness	27
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's effect on Finland's image abroad	25

3. Indexes

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s elegant appearance and stylishness27

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s experience and performance in international circles 17

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s expertise in foreign policy 17

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s ideological views are similar to respondent’s views 18

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s irreproachable private life 28

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s native language 15

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s political party 20

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s sex 14

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s success in opinion polls 26

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on economic issues 19

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on environmental issues 25

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on equality between men and women 23

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on Finland’s policies in the EU 21

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on Finland’s potential Nato membership 21

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on Finland’s security and defence policy 23

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on how to improve employment situation 22

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on how to reduce criminality 24

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on how to reduce poverty and prevent people from alienating themselves from the society 24

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on issues related to families with children 20

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on refugees 18

Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate’s views on

regional policy	20
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on Russia	22
Influence on your candidate choice in the first round of the elections: candidate's views on social policy	19
Municipality group	54
Municipality group 2	54
Number of children under 21 years	45
Number of persons in the household	44
Ownership of the dwelling of residence	49
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Elisabeth Rehn	38
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Esko Aho	36
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Riitta Uosukainen	37
Regardless of your own political views, how convincing was the candidate's TV advertising: Tarja Halonen	37
Region	55
Respondent's age	53
Respondent's basic education	45
Respondent's dwelling situation (with parents, with children...)	44
Respondent's employment sector	47
Respondent's marital status	44
Respondent's membership in a trade union	48
Respondent's native language	43
Respondent's occupational group	46
Respondent's place of residence	53
Respondent's political orientation (left-right axis)	52
Respondent's province	54
Respondent's sex	43
Respondent's social class	52
Respondent's vocational education	46
Respondent's year of birth	43
The candidate I voted for wasn't my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had better chances to make it to the next round	40

3. Indexes

Type of respondent's employer	47
Voting in the presidential elections is a civic duty	39
When are you going to make the final voting decision regarding the next round of the presidential elections	39
When did you finally decide for whom to vote in the first round of the presidential elections .	7
Which party did you vote for in the European Parliament elections in June 1999	51
Which party did you vote for in the parliamentary elections of March 1999	41, 50
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: bad weather on the election day	32
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not bother to vote	29
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: did not remember to vote	29
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: force majeure	29
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: hard to find a suitable candidate ...	28, 31
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: mistrusts politicians and politics	30
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: one vote makes no difference	30
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: the result was predictable, no need to vote	32
Why did not vote in the first round of the elections: wanted to protest against politicians and politics	31

Appendix A

Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

DEVA	Democratic Alternative
EKA	Pensioners for the People
EKO	Ecological Party
EPV	Ecological Party The Greens
EVY	Party for Pensioners and Green Mutual Responsibility
IPU	Humanity Party
KD	Christian Democrats
KESK Keskustapuolue	Center Party
KESK Suomen Keskusta	Centre Party of Finland
KESK/LKP	Center Party + Liberal Party
KIPU	Ecological Party
KOK	National Coalition Party
KTP	For Peace and Socialism-Communist Worker's Party
KVL	Union for Democracy
LIB	Liberals
LKP	Liberal Party
LLP	Natural Law Party
NAISL	Womens' Movement
NAISP	Womens' Party
NUORS	Progressive Finnish Party
NUSU	Progressive Finnish Party
PKP	Constitutional People's Party
POP	Constitutional Party of Finland
PS	True Finns
REM	Reform Group
RKP	Swedish People's Party in Finland
RSES	Independent Pensioners of Finland
SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland
SEP	Finnish Pensioners' Party
SKDL	Democratic League of the People of Finland
SKL	Christian League of Finland
SKP	The Communist Party of Finland
SKYP	Unification Party of the Finnish People
SMP	Finnish Rural Party

A. Abbreviations of Finnish Political Parties

SPK	Finnish Constitutional People's Party
STP	Socialist Workers' Party
SYP	Private Entrepreneurs' Party in Finland
TPSL	Social Democratic Union of Workers and Small Farmers
VAS	Left Alliance
VASL	Left Alliance
VEV	Alternative Union
VIHR Vihreä Liitto	Green League
VIHR Vihreät	The Greens
VSL	Alliance for Free Finland
YVP	Joint Responsibility Party

Appendix B

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1040 Presidentinvaalit 2000, 1. kierros

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1040 Presidential Elections 2000, first round

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

1. Äänestitkö tasavallan presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella? Vastaa kysymykseen rehellisesti.

Ennakkoon --> KYS 2.

Varsinaisena vaalipäivänä --> KYS 2.

En äänestänyt -> KYS 7.

En halua sanoa-->KYS 8.

JOS ÄÄNESTI

2. Ketä ehdokasta äänestit presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella?

Esko Aho

Ilkka Hakalehto

Tarja Halonen

Heidi Hautala

Risto Kuisma

Elisabeth Rehn

Riitta Uosukainen

En halua sanoa

3. Missä vaiheessa lopullisesti päätit, mille ehdokkaalle annat äänesi presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella?

Samana päivänä kun kävin äänestämässä

Joitakin päiviä ennen äänestämistäni

Noin viikkoa tai kahta ennen äänestämistäni

Noin kuukautta tai kahta ennen äänestämistäni

Päätös oli selvä jo alkusyksyllä

Päätös on ollut selvä jo vuosien ajan

En osaa sanoa

5a. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi vaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella?

SANOMALEHTIEN KIRJOITTELU

Erittäin paljon

Melko paljon

Jonkin verran

En lainkaan

En osaa sanoa

5b. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?

AIKAKAUSLEHTIEN KIRJOITTELU

5c. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?

VAALEJA KÄSITELLEET RADIO-OHJELMAT

5d. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?

TELEVISION VAALIKESKUSTELUT

5e. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?

TELEVISION UUTIS- JA AJANKOHTAISOHJELMAT

5f. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?

VAALIMAINONTA SANOMALEHDISSÄ

5g. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?
VAALIMAINONTA TELEVISIOSSA

5h. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?
EHDOKKAIDEN VAALITILAISUUDET

5i. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?
YSTÄVÄT, TUTTAVAT, TYÖTOVERIT TAI SUKULAISET

5j. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?
TELEVISION VIIHDEOHJELMAT

5k. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?
INTERNETIN VAALIKONEISTA TAI VASTAAVISTA

5l. Missä määrin arvioit saaneesi tietoa seuraavasta lähteestä oman äänestyspäätöksesi tueksi?
EHDOKKAIDEN VAALISIVUILTA INTERNETISSÄ

6A. Kuinka paljon ao. syy vaikutti äänestämäsi ehdokkaan valintaan vaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella?

Ehdokkaan esiintyminen televisiossa

Vaikutti ratkaisevasti
Vaikutti melko paljon
Vaikutti jonkin verran
Ei vaikuttanut lainkaan
En osaa sanoa

6C. Ehdokkaan sukupuoli

6D. Ehdokkaan äidinkieli

6E. Ehdokas puolustaa sen ammatti- tai muun sosiaaliryhmän etuja, johon itse kuulun

6G. Ehdokkaalla on hyvä linja monissa viime aikoina esilläoleissa kysymyksissä

6H. Ehdokas on pätevä hoitamaan maan asioita

6I. Ehdokkaan ulkopoliittikan asiantuntemus

6J. Ehdokkaan muu kokemus ja toiminta kansainvälisessä toimintaympäristössä

6K. Ehdokkaan aatteellinen linja vastaa näkemyksiäni

6L. Ehdokkaan kanta pakolaiskysymyksiin

6M. Ehdokkaan kanta talouselämän asioihin

6N. Ehdokkaan kanta sosiaalipoliittisiin asioihin

6O. Ehdokkaan kanta aluepolitiikkaan

6P. Ehdokkaan kanta lapsiperheitä koskevilla asioilla

6Q. Ehdokkaan puoluetusta

6cc. Ehdokkaan kanta Suomen mahdolliseen Nato-jäsenyyteen

6dd. Ehdokkaan linjaukset Suomen toiminnasta EU:ssa

6ee. Ehdokkaan näkemykset Venäjästä

6ff. Ehdokkaan näkemykset työllisyyden parantamisesta

6gg. Ehdokkaan kanta maan turvallisuus- ja puolustuspolitiikkaan

6hh. Ehdokkaan näkemykset sukupuolten tasa-arvoa koskevilla asioilla

6ii. Ehdokkaan näkemykset köyhyyden ja syrjäytymisen vähentämiseksi

6kk. Ehdokkaan näkemykset rikollisuuden vähentämiseksi

- 6II. Ehdokkaan imago Suomi-kuvan kannalta
- 6mm. Ehdokkaan näkemykset ympäristöasioista
- 6oo. Ehdokkaan menestyminen julkistetuissa kannatusmittauksissa
- 6U. Ehdokkaan julkinen esiintymiskyky ja ulosanti
- 6X. Ehdokkaan ulkoisen olemuksen edustavuus ja tyylikkyys
- 6aa. Ehdokkaan määrätietoisuus ja jäämäkkyys
- 6bb. Ehdokkaan yksityiselämän nuhteettomuus

EI ÄÄNESTÄNYT ELI JOS KYS 1=3

7a. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

- Vaikutti ratkaisevasti
- Vaikutti melko paljon
- Vaikutti jonkin verran
- Ei vaikuttanut lainkaan
- En osaa sanoa

7b. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

MINUN OLI VAIKEA LÖYTÄÄ ITSELLENI SOPIVAA EHDOKASTA

7c. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

MINULLA OLI KÄYTÄNNÖN ESTE

7d. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

EN MUISTANUT KÄYDÄ ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ

7e. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

EN VIITSINYT KÄYDÄ ÄÄNESTÄMÄSSÄ

7f. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

MIELESTÄNI YKSI ÄÄNI EI OLISI MERKINNYT MITÄÄN VAALIEN LOPPUTULOKSEEN

7g. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

TUNNEN EPÄLUOTTAMUSTA POLITIIKKOJA JA YLEENSÄ POLITIIKKAA KOHTAAN

7h. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä

kierroksella ?

HALUSIN PROTESTOIDA POLITIIKKA JA POLITIIKKOJA VASTAAN

7i. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

MINUN OLI VAIKEA LÖYTÄÄ ITSELLENI SOPIVAA EHDOKASTA

7j. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

VAALIN LOPPUTULOS OLI ENNAKOITAVISSA ILMAN MINUN ÄÄNTÄKIN

7k. Kuinka paljon seuraava asia vaikutti siihen, ettet äänestänyt presidentinvaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella ?

HUONO SÄÄ VARSINAISENA VAALIPÄIVÄNÄ

KAIKILTA VASTAAJILTA

8. Oliko ensimmäisen kierroksen vaalikampanja mielestäsi enemmän asia- vai imago painotteinen?

Enemmän asiakysymys painotteinen
Enemmän imago painotteinen
Ei osaa sanoa

9. Kenen kaikkien ehdokkaiden poliittisia mainoksia muistat nähneesi televisiossa ennen ensimmäistä kierrosta?

Esko Aho
Ilkka Hakalehto
Tarja Halonen
Heidi Hautala
Risto Kuisma
Elisabeth Rehn
Riitta Uosukainen
En kenenkään
En halua sanoa

JOS NÄKI AHON

10. Huolimatta omasta poliittisesta näkemyksestäne, miten vakuuttava Esko Ahon TV-mainonta oli mielestäsi?

Erittäin vakuuttava
Aika vakuuttava
Ei kovinkaan vakuuttava
Ei lainkaan vakuuttava
En osaa sanoa

JOS NÄKI HALOSEN

11. Huolimatta omasta poliittisesta näkemyksestäne, miten vakuuttava Tarja Halosen TV-

mainonta oli mielestäsi?

Erittäin vakuuttava
Aika vakuuttava
Ei kovinkaan vakuuttava
Ei lainkaan vakuuttava
En osaa sanoa

JOS NÄKI UOSUKAISEN**12. Huolimatta omasta poliittisesta näkemyksestäne, miten vakuuttava Riitta Uosukaisen TV-mainonta oli mielestäsi?**

Erittäin vakuuttava
Aika vakuuttava
Ei kovinkaan vakuuttava
Ei lainkaan vakuuttava
En osaa sanoa

JOS NÄKI REHNIN**13. Huolimatta omasta poliittisesta näkemyksestäne, miten vakuuttava Elisabeth Rehnin TV-mainonta oli mielestäsi?**

Erittäin vakuuttava
Aika vakuuttava
Ei kovinkaan vakuuttava
Ei lainkaan vakuuttava
En osaa sanoa

KAIKILTA**14. Jos tasavallan presidentinvaalin toinen kierros olisi nyt, niin kumpaa ehdokasta äänestäisit?**

Tarja Halosta
Esko Ahoa
En äänestäisi
En osaa

15. Kuinka varmasti aiot käydä äänestämässä vaalien toisella kierroksella?

Täysin varmasti
Melko varmasti
En kovinkaan varmasti
En käy äänestämässä
En osaa sanoa

JOS EDELLISESSÄ KYSYMYKSESSÄ 1-3 TAI 5**16. Milloin arvelet tekeväsi lopullinen valintasi presidentinvaalien seuraavaa kierrosta ajatellen?**

Olen jo ennen ensimmäistä kierrosta päättänyt ketä äänestän toisella kierroksella

Olen jo päättänyt, päätin heti ensimmäisen kierroksen jälkeen
Noin viikko ennen vaaleja
Pari päivää ennen vaaleja
Vaalipäivänä
En osaa sanoa

KAIKILTA

17. Mitä mieltä olet seuraavista väittämistä. Oletko täysin samaa mieltä, osittain samaa mieltä, osittain eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä väittämien kanssa?

Täysin samaa mieltä
Osittain samaa mieltä
Osittain eri mieltä
Täysin eri mieltä
Ei osaa sanoa

Äänestämässä käynti presidentinvaaleissa on mielestäni kansalaisvelvollisuus

Äänestämäni ehdokas ei ollut alunperin ensisijainen ehdokkaani. Sen sijaan äänestin sellaista ehdokasta, jolla katsoin olevan mahdollisuudet päästä vaalin toiselle kierrokselle

Äänestämällä presidentinvaaleissa voi vaikuttaa asioihin

Pohjimmiltani olen sitä mieltä, että presidentin tulee olla mies

18. Jos eduskuntavaalit järjestettäisiin nyt niin minkä puolueen tai muun ryhmittymän ehdokasta äänestäisit?

Suomen sosialidemokraattinen puolue (SDP)
Suomen Keskusta (KESK)
Kansallinen Kokoomus (KOK)
Vasemmistoliitto (VAS)
Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)
Vihreä Liitto (VIHR)
Suomen Kristillinen Liitto (SKL)
Perussuomalaiset (PS)
Remonttiryhmä (REM)
Jokin muu puolue tai ryhmittymä
En äänestäisi
Ei osaa sanoa
En halua sanoa

19. Mitä puolueen tai muun ryhmittymän ehdokasta äänestit viime vuoden maaliskuussa järjestetyissä eduskuntavaaleissa?

Suomen sosialidemokraattinen puolue (SDP)
Suomen Keskusta (KESK)
Kansallinen Kokoomus (KOK)
Vasemmistoliitto (VAS)
Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)

Vihreä Liitto (VIHR)
Suomen Kristillinen Liitto (SKL)
Perussuomalaiset (PS)
Remonttiryhmä (REM)
Nuorsuomalainen puolue (NUSU)
En äänestänyt
Ei ollut äänioikeutta
Jokin muu puolue
Ei osaa sanoa
En halua sanoa

20. Entä ketä ehdokasta äänestit viime presidentinvaalien ensimmäisellä kierroksella viime presidentinvaaleissa vuonna 1994

Martti Ahtisaari
Sulo Aittoniemi
Claes Andersson
Raimo Ilaskivi
Toimi Kankaanniemi
Keijo Korhonen
Eeva Kuuskoski
Elisabeth Rehn
Pekka Tiainen
Pertti Virtanen
Paavo Väyrynen
En äänestänyt
Ei ollut äänioikeutta
En muista
Ei halua sanoa

