

FSD1048

**Young People and Presidential Elections
2000**

Codebook



FINNISH SOCIAL SCIENCE DATA ARCHIVE

©Finnish Social Science Data Archive, 2000

The bibliographic citation for this codebook:

Young People and Presidential Elections 2000, [codebook]. Tampere : Finnish Social Science Data Archive [producer and distributor], 2010.

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.1 (13.6.2005) of the data.

Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

FSD Finnish Social Science Data Archive
FIN-33014 UNIVERSITY OF TAMPERE
tel. +358 3 3551 8519
fax +358 3 3551 8520
email: fsd@uta.fi
URI: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/>

Typeset by L^AT_EX

To the reader

This codebook is part of the data FSD1048 archived at the FSD (Finnish Social Science Data Archive). The source must be acknowledged in any publication based wholly or in part on the data. The bibliographic citation may be in the form required by the publication, or in the form suggested by the archive. The bibliographic citation suggested by the archive:

Nurmela, Sakari & Pehkonen, Juhani: Young People and Presidential Elections 2000 [computer file]. FSD1048, version 2.1 (2005-06-13). Espoo: Gallup Finland [data collection], 2000. Helsinki: Ministry of Education. Advisory Council for Youth Affairs [producer], 2000. Tampere: Finnish Social Science Data Archive [distributor], 2005.

The depositor and the archive bear no responsibility for any results or interpretations arising from the secondary use of the data. The archive must be informed of all publications where the data have been used.

The beginning of the codebook contains information on data content, structure and collection, and includes a list of publications where the data have been used. The second part of the codebook contains information on variables: question texts, response options, and frequencies. The third part contains indexes.

Variable distributions presented in this codebook have been generated from the SPSS files. Distribution tables present variable values, frequencies (n), frequency percents (%), and valid percents (v. %) which take into account missing data. All distributions are unweighted. If the data contain weight variables, these will be found at the end of the variables list. In some cases frequency distributions have been substituted by descriptive statistics.

Distributions may contain missing data. The note "missing data (SYSMIS)" refers to a missing observation whereas "missing data" refers to, for example, user missing data. In some cases users of the data have to consider whether it is best to code also other values as missing data (eg. 'don't want to say' or 'can't say').

This codebook has been produced automatically with Python, L^AT_EX and dvipdfm software. Source files include data description files in DDI format, and SPSS files.

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	Titles	1
1.2	Subject description	1
1.3	Structure and collection of the data	3
1.4	Use of data	3
2	Variables	5
3	Indexes	59
3.1	Variables in the order of occurrence	59
3.2	Variables in alphabetical order	65
A	Questionnaire in Finnish	71

Chapter 1

Study description

1.1 Titles

Title of the study: Young People and Presidential Elections 2000

Title of the study in Finnish: Nuoret ja presidentinvaalit 2000

This codebook has been generated from the version 2.1 (13.6.2005) of the data. Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

1.2 Subject description

Extent of collection

Data: SPSS portable file. Data available also in other file formats.

Other material

Questionnaire: pdf file in Finnish

Authoring entity

Nurmela, Sakari (Gallup Finland)
Pehkonen, Juhani (Gallup Finland)

Copyright statement for the data

According to an agreement between FSD and the depositor.

Depositor

Advisory Council for Youth Affairs (Ministry of Education)

Date deposited

5.3.2000

Keywords

election campaigns; information sources; presidential candidates; presidential elections; values; voting; voting behaviour; youth

Topic Classification

Classification by FSD vocabulary: political studies; youth studies

Classification by CESSDA vocabulary: elections; mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion; youth

Series description

The data belong to the series:

Individual datasets

Individual datasets contain those datasets that do not belong to any series.

Abstract

The data was collected between the first and second round of the Finnish presidential elections in 2000. The object of the survey was to study the interest of young people in politics and in the presidential elections, their opinions on the election campaign, voting, political parties, information sources on the election, and the person and tasks of the president. The respondents were asked how important different factors were when they made their decision to vote, did they vote in the elections, for whom and on what grounds. Those who had abstained were asked if it had been self-evident that they were not going to vote, and why they abstained. All respondents were asked whether they were going to vote in the second round of the elections and for whom. In conclusion, the respondents were asked how important certain things were in their lives: spending time with friends, spending time with family, leisure time hobbies, exercising, good income, etc.

Background variables included the respondent's gender, year of birth, vocational education, economic activity, occupational group, household composition, housing tenure, periods of unemployment, Internet use, and whether the respondent was going to vote in the forthcoming municipal elections.

1.3 Structure and collection of the data

Country: Finland

Geographical coverage: Finland

Unit of analysis: Person

Universe: Young people aged 18-30 living in Finland

Collection date: February 2000

Data collector: Gallup Finland (Gallup Finland)

Data producer: Ministry of Education. Advisory Council for Youth Affairs (Ministry of Education)

Mode of data collection: Telephone interview

Type of research instrument: Structured questionnaire

Time period covered: 2000

Time method of the data collection: Cross-sectional study

Number of variables and cases: The data contain 123 variables and 1003 cases.

Sampling procedure: Random sample

1.4 Use of data

Data appraisal

The variable identifying municipality has been removed. The data set does not contain answers to the open-ended question 2.

Related publications

Nurmela, Sakari & Pehkonen, Juhani (2000). Presidentinvaalit ja nuoret 2000: raportti 18-30-vuotiaiden osallistumisesta vuoden 2000 presidentinvaaleihin. Helsinki: Nuorisoasiain neuvottelukunta.

Updated list of publications in the study description at

<http://www.fsd.uta.fi/english/data/catalogue/FSD1048/>

Related studies

FSD1027 Youth and Parliamentary Elections 1999

FSD1028 Young People and European Parliament Elections 1999

Location of the data collection

Finnish Social Science Data Archive

Weighting

There are no weight variables in the data.

Restrictions

Access to the data granted for scientific and teaching purposes; FSD's access application procedure.

Chapter 2

Variables

[FSD_NO] FSD study number

Question

FSD study number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1003
minimum	1048.00
maximum	1048.00
mean	1048.00
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_VR] FSD edition number

Question

FSD edition number

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1003
minimum	2.10
maximum	2.10
mean	2.10
standard deviation	0.00

[FSD_PRO] FSD processing level**Question**

Detailed and specific data description in Finnish and English. Variable frequencies, filter variables, variable and value labels, and missing values are checked. If necessary, the data are anonymised.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A (see codebook)	1	1003	100.0	100.0
B (see codebook)	2	0	0.0	0.0
C (data hasn't been checked at FSD)	3	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[FSD_ID] FSD case id**Question**

FSD case id

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1003
minimum	1.00
maximum	1003.00
mean	502.00
standard deviation	289.69

[SUKU] Respondent's gender**Question**

Respondent's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Female	1	496	49.5	49.5

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Male	2	507	50.5	50.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K1] How interested are you in matters related to the presidential elections?**Question***How interested are you in matters related to the presidential elections?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very interested	1	239	23.8	23.8
Somewhat interested	2	598	59.6	59.6
Not very interested	3	136	13.6	13.6
Not at all interested	4	30	3.0	3.0
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3A_1] During the election campaign of the first round, to what extent do you think issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks were raised?**Question***During the election campaign of the first round, to what extent do you think issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks were raised?***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	175	17.4	17.4
Some extent	2	699	69.7	69.7
Not at all	3	66	6.6	6.6
Can't say	4	63	6.3	6.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3A_2] During the election campaign of the first round, was there public criticism of the candidates' political activities?

Question

During the election campaign of the first round, was there public criticism of the candidates' political activities?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	81	8.1	8.1
Some extent	2	647	64.5	64.5
Not at all	3	244	24.3	24.3
Can't say	4	31	3.1	3.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3A_3] During the election campaign of the first round, were any unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives brought to public attention?

Question

During the election campaign of the first round, were any unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives brought to public attention?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	206	20.5	20.5
Some extent	2	514	51.2	51.2
Not at all	3	268	26.7	26.7
Can't say	4	15	1.5	1.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3A_4] During the election campaign of the first round, did the spouses/partners of the candidates participate in the campaign?

Question

During the election campaign of the first round, did the spouses/partners of the candidates participate in the campaign?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	257	25.6	25.6
Some extent	2	609	60.7	60.7
Not at all	3	125	12.5	12.5
Can't say	4	12	1.2	1.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3B_1] How beneficial do you feel it is that candidates comment on issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks?**Question**

How beneficial do you feel it is that candidates comment on issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Beneficial	1	220	21.9	21.9
Not very beneficial	2	496	49.5	49.5
Not at all beneficial	3	271	27.0	27.0
Can't say	4	16	1.6	1.6
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3B_2] How beneficial do you find public criticism of the candidates' political activities?**Question**

How beneficial do you find public criticism of the candidates' political activities?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Beneficial	1	463	46.2	46.2
Not very beneficial	2	400	39.9	39.9
Not at all beneficial	3	111	11.1	11.1
Can't say	4	29	2.9	2.9
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3B_3] How beneficial do you find that unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives are exposed

Question

How beneficial do you find that unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives are exposed

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Beneficial	1	61	6.1	6.1
Not very beneficial	2	282	28.1	28.1
Not at all beneficial	3	645	64.3	64.3
Can't say	4	15	1.5	1.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K3B_4] How beneficial do you find that the candidates' spouses/partners participate in the election campaign

Question

How beneficial do you find that the candidates' spouses/partners participate in the election campaign

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Beneficial	1	426	42.5	42.5
Not very beneficial	2	352	35.1	35.1
Not at all beneficial	3	189	18.8	18.8
Can't say	4	36	3.6	3.6
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4A] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Work colleagues or fellow students

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Work colleagues or fellow students

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	32	3.2	3.2
Rather important	2	154	15.4	15.4
Not very important	3	328	32.7	32.7
Not at all important	4	485	48.4	48.4
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.4
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4B] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Home, parents or relatives

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Home, parents or relatives

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	52	5.2	5.2
Rather important	2	296	29.5	29.5
Not very important	3	293	29.2	29.2
Not at all important	4	360	35.9	35.9
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4C] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Other friends

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Other friends

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	22	2.2	2.2
Rather important	2	185	18.4	18.4
Not very important	3	366	36.5	36.5
Not at all important	4	429	42.8	42.8
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4D] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs articles in newspapers

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs articles in newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	175	17.4	17.4
Rather important	2	547	54.5	54.5
Not very important	3	158	15.8	15.8
Not at all important	4	122	12.2	12.2
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4E] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on the radio

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on the radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	98	9.8	9.8
Rather important	2	334	33.3	33.3
Not very important	3	244	24.3	24.3
Not at all important	4	322	32.1	32.1
Can't say	5	5	0.5	0.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4F] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on TV

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on TV

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	374	37.3	37.3
Rather important	2	468	46.7	46.7
Not very important	3	84	8.4	8.4
Not at all important	4	76	7.6	7.6
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4G] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Books, magazines and other written sources

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Books, magazines and other written sources

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	34	3.4	3.4
Rather important	2	270	26.9	26.9
Not very important	3	368	36.7	36.7
Not at all important	4	328	32.7	32.7
Can't say	5	3	0.3	0.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4H] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Internet

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Internet

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	35	3.5	3.5
Rather important	2	159	15.9	15.9
Not very important	3	172	17.1	17.1
Not at all important	4	632	63.0	63.0
Can't say	5	5	0.5	0.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4I] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Election campaigns in general

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Election campaigns in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	86	8.6	8.6
Rather important	2	423	42.2	42.2
Not very important	3	286	28.5	28.5
Not at all important	4	198	19.7	19.7
Can't say	5	10	1.0	1.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4J] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements in newspapers

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements in newspapers

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	24	2.4	2.4
Rather important	2	228	22.7	22.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not very important	3	369	36.8	36.8
Not at all important	4	378	37.7	37.7
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.4
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K4K] Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements on television and the radio

Question

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements on television and the radio

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	55	5.5	5.5
Rather important	2	346	34.5	34.5
Not very important	3	311	31.0	31.0
Not at all important	4	290	28.9	28.9
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K5] If you think about times when there are no elections, how often do you discuss politics with other people?

Question

If you think about times when there are no elections, how often do you discuss politics with other people?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily or almost daily	1	105	10.5	10.5
Often	2	168	16.7	16.7
Sometimes	3	326	32.5	32.5
Rarely	4	352	35.1	35.1

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Never	5	52	5.2	5.2
Cant' say	6	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K6] How often did you discuss issues related to the first round of the recent presidential elections during the election campaign?

Question

How often did you discuss issues related to the first round of the recent presidential elections during the election campaign?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily or almost daily	1	404	40.3	40.3
Often	2	298	29.7	29.7
Sometimes	3	193	19.2	19.2
Rarely	4	99	9.9	9.9
Never	5	9	0.9	0.9
Cant' say	6	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7A] Voting in presidential elections is a civic duty

Question

Voting in presidential elections is a civic duty

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	663	66.1	66.1
Agree	2	253	25.2	25.2
Disagree	3	54	5.4	5.4
Strongly disagree	4	32	3.2	3.2
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7B] The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on my life

Question

The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on my life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	62	6.2	6.2
Agree	2	228	22.7	22.7
Disagree	3	431	43.0	43.0
Strongly disagree	4	276	27.5	27.5
Can't say	5	6	0.6	0.6
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7C] During the first round, there was at least one candidate who I liked

Question

During the first round, there was at least one candidate who I liked

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	735	73.3	73.3
Agree	2	148	14.8	14.8
Disagree	3	53	5.3	5.3
Strongly disagree	4	61	6.1	6.1
Can't say	5	6	0.6	0.6
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7D] You can influence things by voting in presidential elections

Question

You can influence things by voting in presidential elections

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	494	49.3	49.3
Agree	2	344	34.3	34.3
Disagree	3	123	12.3	12.3
Strongly disagree	4	40	4.0	4.0
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7E] Voting in presidential elections is more interesting than voting in parliamentary elections

Question

Voting in presidential elections is more interesting than voting in parliamentary elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	486	48.5	48.5
Agree	2	286	28.5	28.5
Disagree	3	139	13.9	13.9
Strongly disagree	4	63	6.3	6.3
Can't say	5	29	2.9	2.9
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7F] Basically, I think that the president should be a man

Question

Basically, I think that the president should be a man

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	112	11.2	11.2
Agree	2	97	9.7	9.7
Disagree	3	162	16.2	16.2
Strongly disagree	4	621	61.9	61.9
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K7G] The recently elected president should have more powers in domestic affairs than the previous president had

Question

The recently elected president should have more powers in domestic affairs than the previous president had

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Strongly agree	1	149	14.9	14.9
Agree	2	300	29.9	29.9
Disagree	3	317	31.6	31.6
Strongly disagree	4	167	16.7	16.7
Can't say	5	70	7.0	7.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K8] Many voters chose not to vote in the first round. What did you do?

Question

Many voters chose not to vote in the first round. What did you do?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
I did not vote	1	140	14.0	14.0
I voted in advance	2	311	31.0	31.0
I voted on the election day	3	549	54.7	54.7
Don't want to say	4	3	0.3	0.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K9] (IF VOTED) How self-evident was it for you to vote?

Question

(IF VOTED) How self-evident was it for you to vote?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Self-evident	1	745	74.3	86.6
Thought about not voting, but not seriously	2	96	9.6	11.2
Seriously considered not voting as an option	3	19	1.9	2.2
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K10] When did you decide on the candidate you voted for in the first round?

Question

When did you decide on the candidate you voted for in the first round?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
On the election day	1	84	8.4	9.8
Some days before voting	2	195	19.4	22.7
About a week or two before voting	3	271	27.0	31.5
I made my choice already last year	4	309	30.8	35.9
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K11] Which of the following candidates did you vote for in the first round?

Question

Which of the following candidates did you vote for in the first round?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Esko Aho (KESK)	1	248	24.7	28.8
Ilkka Hakalehto (PS)	2	4	0.4	0.5
Tarja Halonen (SDP)	3	290	28.9	33.7
Heidi Hautala (VIHR)	4	63	6.3	7.3
Risto Kuusma (REM)	5	6	0.6	0.7
Elisabeth Rehn (RKP)	6	55	5.5	6.4
Riitta Uosukainen (KOK)	7	92	9.2	10.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't want to say/cast a blank vote	8	102	10.2	11.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12A] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's political party

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's political party

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	101	10.1	11.7
A fair amount	2	270	26.9	31.4
Not very much	3	251	25.0	29.2
Not at all	4	238	23.7	27.7
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12B] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	87	8.7	10.1
A fair amount	2	239	23.8	27.8
Not very much	3	227	22.6	26.4
Not at all	4	305	30.4	35.5
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12C] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	33	3.3	3.8
A fair amount	2	196	19.5	22.8
Not very much	3	253	25.2	29.4
Not at all	4	378	37.7	44.0
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12D] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's educational background

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's educational background

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	63	6.3	7.3
A fair amount	2	391	39.0	45.5
Not very much	3	209	20.8	24.3
Not at all	4	189	18.8	22.0
Can't say	5	8	0.8	0.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	–

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12E] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's expertise in foreign affairs

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's expertise in foreign affairs

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	224	22.3	26.0
A fair amount	2	436	43.5	50.7
Not very much	3	136	13.6	15.8
Not at all	4	59	5.9	6.9
Can't say	5	5	0.5	0.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12F] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had experience of acting and working in the international sphere

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had experience of acting and working in the international sphere

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	262	26.1	30.5
A fair amount	2	469	46.8	54.5
Not very much	3	93	9.3	10.8
Not at all	4	32	3.2	3.7
Can't say	5	4	0.4	0.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12G] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good language skills

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good language skills

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	134	13.4	15.6
A fair amount	2	374	37.3	43.5
Not very much	3	204	20.3	23.7
Not at all	4	127	12.7	14.8
Can't say	5	21	2.1	2.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12H] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is empathetic

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is empathetic

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	126	12.6	14.7
A fair amount	2	435	43.4	50.6
Not very much	3	208	20.7	24.2
Not at all	4	78	7.8	9.1
Can't say	5	13	1.3	1.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12I] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a good public performer

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a good public performer

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	295	29.4	34.3
A fair amount	2	487	48.6	56.6
Not very much	3	66	6.6	7.7
Not at all	4	12	1.2	1.4
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12J] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's stylishness and elegance

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's stylishness and elegance

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	166	16.6	19.3
A fair amount	2	395	39.4	45.9
Not very much	3	210	20.9	24.4
Not at all	4	87	8.7	10.1
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12K] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's determination and assertiveness

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's determination and assertiveness

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	351	35.0	40.8
A fair amount	2	443	44.2	51.5
Not very much	3	50	5.0	5.8
Not at all	4	15	1.5	1.7
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K12L] To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's irreproachable private life

Question

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's irreproachable private life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	41	4.1	4.8
A fair amount	2	174	17.3	20.2
Not very much	3	306	30.5	35.6
Not at all	4	329	32.8	38.3
Can't say	5	10	1.0	1.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13A] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's Nato membership

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's Nato membership

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	88	8.8	10.2
A fair amount	2	290	28.9	33.7
Not very much	3	267	26.6	31.0
Not at all	4	192	19.1	22.3
Can't say	5	23	2.3	2.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13B] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's EU policy

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's EU policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	98	9.8	11.4
A fair amount	2	471	47.0	54.8
Not very much	3	179	17.8	20.8
Not at all	4	92	9.2	10.7
Can't say	5	20	2.0	2.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13C] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's relations to non-EU countries

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's relations to non-EU countries

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	79	7.9	9.2
A fair amount	2	431	43.0	50.1
Not very much	3	226	22.5	26.3
Not at all	4	101	10.1	11.7
Can't say	5	23	2.3	2.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13D] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of unemployment

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of unemployment

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	160	16.0	18.6
A fair amount	2	418	41.7	48.6
Not very much	3	187	18.6	21.7
Not at all	4	82	8.2	9.5
Can't say	5	13	1.3	1.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13E] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the economic policy of Finland

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the economic policy of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	113	11.3	13.1
A fair amount	2	434	43.3	50.5
Not very much	3	217	21.6	25.2
Not at all	4	78	7.8	9.1
Can't say	5	18	1.8	2.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13F] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the security and defence policy of Finland

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the security and defence policy of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	164	16.4	19.1
A fair amount	2	468	46.7	54.4
Not very much	3	160	16.0	18.6
Not at all	4	60	6.0	7.0
Can't say	5	8	0.8	0.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13G] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on and actions in gender equality issues

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on and actions in gender equality issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	107	10.7	12.4
A fair amount	2	361	36.0	42.0
Not very much	3	248	24.7	28.8
Not at all	4	125	12.5	14.5
Can't say	5	19	1.9	2.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13H] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of poverty and social exclusion

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of poverty and social exclusion

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	172	17.1	20.0
A fair amount	2	451	45.0	52.4
Not very much	3	166	16.6	19.3
Not at all	4	56	5.6	6.5
Can't say	5	15	1.5	1.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13I] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on moral and ethical issues

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on moral and ethical issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	166	16.6	19.3
A fair amount	2	406	40.5	47.2
Not very much	3	196	19.5	22.8
Not at all	4	70	7.0	8.1
Can't say	5	22	2.2	2.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13J] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on crime reduction

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on crime reduction

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	108	10.8	12.6
A fair amount	2	337	33.6	39.2
Not very much	3	258	25.7	30.0
Not at all	4	131	13.1	15.2
Can't say	5	26	2.6	3.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13K] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on regional policy

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on regional policy

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	91	9.1	10.6
A fair amount	2	345	34.4	40.1
Not very much	3	292	29.1	34.0
Not at all	4	110	11.0	12.8
Can't say	5	22	2.2	2.6
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13L] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's public image in terms of the image of Finland

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's public image in terms of the image of Finland

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	226	22.5	26.3
A fair amount	2	427	42.6	49.7
Not very much	3	138	13.8	16.0
Not at all	4	58	5.8	6.7
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13M] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on environmental issues

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on environmental issues

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	110	11.0	12.8
A fair amount	2	417	41.6	48.5
Not very much	3	233	23.2	27.1
Not at all	4	82	8.2	9.5
Can't say	5	18	1.8	2.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K13N] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good election campaign

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good election campaign

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	54	5.4	6.3
A fair amount	2	265	26.4	30.8
Not very much	3	339	33.8	39.4
Not at all	4	191	19.0	22.2
Can't say	5	11	1.1	1.3
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K130] To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good rating in opinion polls

Question

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good rating in opinion polls

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
A great deal	1	20	2.0	2.3
A fair amount	2	146	14.6	17.0
Not very much	3	275	27.4	32.0
Not at all	4	412	41.1	47.9
Can't say	5	7	0.7	0.8
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K14A] I wanted to vote for a candidate who had a good chance of winning the second round of the elections

Question

I wanted to vote for a candidate who had a good chance of winning the second round of the elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	1	243	24.2	28.3
Disagree	2	608	60.6	70.7
Can't say	3	9	0.9	1.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K14B] The candidate I voted for was not my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had a good chance of being elected to the second round

Question

The candidate I voted for was not my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had a good chance of being elected to the second round

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	1	83	8.3	9.7
Disagree	2	764	76.2	88.8
Can't say	3	13	1.3	1.5
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K14C] I wanted to vote for the candidate, because opinion polls did not promise him/her a good rating in the first round**Question**

I wanted to vote for the candidate, because opinion polls did not promise him/her a good rating in the first round

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Agree	1	36	3.6	4.2
Disagree	2	816	81.4	94.9
Can't say	3	8	0.8	0.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	143	14.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K15] (IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it for you not to vote in the first round?**Question**

(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it for you not to vote in the first round?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Self-evident	1	35	3.5	25.0
Thought about voting, but not seriously	2	43	4.3	30.7
Seriously considered voting as an option	3	58	5.8	41.4
Can't say	4	4	0.4	2.9
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16A] Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not find a suitable candidate

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not find a suitable candidate

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	37	3.7	26.4
Quite important	2	26	2.6	18.6
Not at all important	3	74	7.4	52.9
Can't say	4	3	0.3	2.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16B] Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not vote due to a trip, an illness, work obligations etc.

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not vote due to a trip, an illness, work obligations etc.

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	51	5.1	36.4
Quite important	2	14	1.4	10.0
Not at all important	3	75	7.5	53.6
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16C] Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not be bothered to vote

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not be bothered to vote

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	31	3.1	22.1
Quite important	2	28	2.8	20.0
Not at all important	3	81	8.1	57.9
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16D] Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not think voting would benefit you in any way

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not think voting would benefit you in any way

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	30	3.0	21.4
Quite important	2	34	3.4	24.3
Not at all important	3	75	7.5	53.6
Can't say	4	1	0.1	0.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16E] Importance of various factors in not voting: You thought one vote would not make a difference to the final outcome of the elections

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You thought one vote would not make a difference to the final outcome of the elections

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	40	4.0	28.6
Quite important	2	29	2.9	20.7
Not at all important	3	68	6.8	48.6
Can't say	4	3	0.3	2.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16F] Importance of various factors in not voting: You do not trust politicians and politics in general

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You do not trust politicians and politics in general

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	34	3.4	24.3
Quite important	2	47	4.7	33.6
Not at all important	3	58	5.8	41.4
Can't say	4	1	0.1	0.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16G] Importance of various factors in not voting: You wanted to protest against politics and politicians

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You wanted to protest against politics and politicians

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	9	0.9	6.4
Quite important	2	21	2.1	15.0
Not at all important	3	108	10.8	77.1
Can't say	4	2	0.2	1.4
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16H] Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not have enough information about candidates

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not have enough information about candidates

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	18	1.8	12.9
Quite important	2	32	3.2	22.9
Not at all important	3	90	9.0	64.3
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16I] Importance of various factors in not voting: Politics does not interest you

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: Politics does not interest you

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	37	3.7	26.4
Quite important	2	42	4.2	30.0
Not at all important	3	61	6.1	43.6
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16J] Importance of various factors in not voting: Presidential elections do not interest you

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: Presidential elections do not interest you

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	19	1.9	13.6
Quite important	2	34	3.4	24.3
Not at all important	3	87	8.7	62.1
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16K] Importance of various factors in not voting: You had other things to do

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: You had other things to do

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	38	3.8	27.1
Quite important	2	28	2.8	20.0
Not at all important	3	74	7.4	52.9
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16L] Importance of various factors in not voting: The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on your life

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on your life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	18	1.8	12.9
Quite important	2	29	2.9	20.7
Not at all important	3	93	9.3	66.4
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16M] Importance of various factors in not voting: The candidate you preferred had no chance of getting elected to the second round

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: The candidate you preferred had no chance of getting elected to the second round

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	14	1.4	10.0
Quite important	2	15	1.5	10.7
Not at all important	3	110	11.0	78.6
Can't say	4	1	0.1	0.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K16N] Importance of various factors in not voting: Because of miserable weather, you just could not manage to drag yourself to the polling station

Question

Importance of various factors in not voting: Because of miserable weather, you just could not manage to drag yourself to the polling station

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Important	1	2	0.2	1.4
Quite important	2	5	0.5	3.6
Not at all important	3	132	13.2	94.3
Can't say	4	1	0.1	0.7
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	863	86.0	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K17] Will you vote in the second round or not?

Question

Will you vote in the second round or not?

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	927	92.4	92.4
No	2	44	4.4	4.4
Don't want to say	3	32	3.2	3.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K18] Which one will you vote for in the second round: Esko Aho (KESK, male) or Tarja Halonen (SDP, female)

Question

Which one will you vote for in the second round: Esko Aho (KESK, male) or Tarja Halonen (SDP, female)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Esko Aho (KESK)	1	316	31.5	34.1
Tarja Halonen (SDP)	2	434	43.3	46.8
Can't say	3	177	17.6	19.1
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	76	7.6	–
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K20] Which of the following political parties do you like the most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which party does (s)he dislike the least)

Question

Which of the following political parties do you like the most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which party does (s)he dislike the least)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	154	15.4	15.4
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	2	162	16.2	16.2
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	214	21.3	21.3
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	25	2.5	2.5
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	16	1.6	1.6
Green League (VIHR)	6	153	15.3	15.3
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	17	1.7	1.7
True Finns (PS)	8	7	0.7	0.7

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Reform Group (REM)	9	8	0.8	0.8
Other party	10	4	0.4	0.4
No party	11	142	14.2	14.2
Can't say	12	101	10.1	10.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K21] Which of the following political parties do you like the second most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which does (s)he dislike the second least)

Question

Which of the following political parties do you like the second most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which does (s)he dislike the second least)

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Social Democratic Party of Finland (SDP)	1	138	13.8	18.2
Center Party of Finland (KESK)	2	121	12.1	15.9
National Coalition Party (KOK)	3	132	13.2	17.4
Left Alliance (VAS)	4	25	2.5	3.3
Swedish People's Party in Finland (RKP)	5	24	2.4	3.2
Green League (VIHR)	6	109	10.9	14.3
Christian Democrats in Finland (KD)	7	9	0.9	1.2
True Finns (PS)	8	3	0.3	0.4
Reform Group (REM)	9	12	1.2	1.6
Other party	10	3	0.3	0.4
No party	11	64	6.4	8.4
Can't say	12	120	12.0	15.8
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	243	24.2	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22A] Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with friends

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with friends

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	657	65.5	65.5
Quite important	2	324	32.3	32.3
Not very important	3	22	2.2	2.2
Not at all important	4	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22B] Importance in respondent's life: Having good manners and following traditions in own life

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Having good manners and following traditions in own life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	463	46.2	46.2
Quite important	2	468	46.7	46.7
Not very important	3	65	6.5	6.5
Not at all important	4	7	0.7	0.7
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22C] Importance in respondent's life: Leading enjoyable and exciting life

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Leading enjoyable and exciting life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	285	28.4	28.4
Quite important	2	480	47.9	47.9
Not very important	3	213	21.2	21.2
Not at all important	4	23	2.3	2.3
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22D] Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with family**Question***Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with family***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	698	69.6	69.6
Quite important	2	269	26.8	26.8
Not very important	3	36	3.6	3.6
Not at all important	4	0	0.0	0.0
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22E] Importance in respondent's life: Having plenty of leisure activities**Question***Importance in respondent's life: Having plenty of leisure activities***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	216	21.5	21.5
Quite important	2	525	52.3	52.3
Not very important	3	245	24.4	24.4
Not at all important	4	15	1.5	1.5
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22F] Importance in respondent's life: Resting, relaxing, doing nothing**Question***Importance in respondent's life: Resting, relaxing, doing nothing***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	223	22.2	22.2

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Quite important	2	531	52.9	52.9
Not very important	3	218	21.7	21.7
Not at all important	4	31	3.1	3.1
Can't say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22G] Importance in respondent's life: Succeeding in life better than other people

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Succeeding in life better than other people

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	94	9.4	9.4
Quite important	2	292	29.1	29.1
Not very important	3	466	46.5	46.5
Not at all important	4	143	14.3	14.3
Can't say	5	8	0.8	0.8
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22H] Importance in respondent's life: Doing sport, taking exercise

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Doing sport, taking exercise

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	375	37.4	37.4
Quite important	2	473	47.2	47.2
Not very important	3	122	12.2	12.2
Not at all important	4	32	3.2	3.2
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22I] Importance in respondent's life: Being efficient and productive both in working and private life

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Being efficient and productive both in working and private life

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	387	38.6	38.6
Quite important	2	511	50.9	50.9
Not very important	3	90	9.0	9.0
Not at all important	4	13	1.3	1.3
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22J] Importance in respondent's life: Gaining new experiences

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Gaining new experiences

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	382	38.1	38.1
Quite important	2	520	51.8	51.8
Not very important	3	91	9.1	9.1
Not at all important	4	8	0.8	0.8
Can't say	5	2	0.2	0.2
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22K] Importance in respondent's life: Environmentally friendly lifestyle

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Environmentally friendly lifestyle

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	256	25.5	25.5
Quite important	2	596	59.4	59.4
Not very important	3	133	13.3	13.3
Not at all important	4	15	1.5	1.5
Can't say	5	3	0.3	0.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22L] Importance in respondent's life: Having influence both in working life and outside it

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Having influence both in working life and outside it

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	86	8.6	8.6
Quite important	2	333	33.2	33.2
Not very important	3	488	48.7	48.7
Not at all important	4	91	9.1	9.1
Can't say	5	5	0.5	0.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22M] Importance in respondent's life: Healthy lifestyle

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Healthy lifestyle

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	380	37.9	37.9
Quite important	2	519	51.7	51.7
Not very important	3	93	9.3	9.3
Not at all important	4	10	1.0	1.0
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22N] Importance in respondent's life: Trying to make the world a better place to live in

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Trying to make the world a better place to live in

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	355	35.4	35.4
Quite important	2	527	52.5	52.5
Not very important	3	105	10.5	10.5
Not at all important	4	11	1.1	1.1
Can't say	5	5	0.5	0.5
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22O] Importance in respondent's life: Good income

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Good income

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	195	19.4	19.4
Quite important	2	583	58.1	58.1
Not very important	3	204	20.3	20.3
Not at all important	4	20	2.0	2.0
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[K22P] Importance in respondent's life: Life-long education and self-development also during free time

Question

Importance in respondent's life: Life-long education and self-development also during free time

Frequencies

2. Variables

label	value	n	%	v. %
Very important	1	233	23.2	23.2
Quite important	2	502	50.0	50.0
Not very important	3	220	21.9	21.9
Not at all important	4	47	4.7	4.7
Can't say	5	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T3] Respondent's vocational education

Question

Respondent's vocational education

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
No vocational education	1	324	32.3	32.3
Vocational course, on the job training	2	50	5.0	5.0
Vocational school	3	252	25.1	25.1
Vocational college	4	242	24.1	24.1
University	5	116	11.6	11.6
Other	6	19	1.9	1.9
Don't want to say	7	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T4] Respondent's present economic activity

Question

Respondent's present economic activity

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Studying	1	395	39.4	39.4
At work full-time	2	403	40.2	40.2
At work part-time	3	50	5.0	5.0
Unemployed or in labour market training for the un-employed	4	84	8.4	8.4
Homemaker	5	47	4.7	4.7
Something else	6	24	2.4	2.4

(continued on next page)

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Don't want to say	7	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T5] Respondent's occupational group**Question***Respondent's occupational group***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Higher managerial occupations (salaried)	1	13	1.3	2.9
Lower managerial and professional occupations	2	64	6.4	14.1
Administrative, clerical, secretarial occupations	3	69	6.9	15.2
Worker	4	264	26.3	58.3
Large or small employer, own account worker	5	32	3.2	7.1
Farmer	6	6	0.6	1.3
Student	7	4	0.4	0.9
Homemaker	8	0	0.0	0.0
Unemployed or laid off	9	0	0.0	0.0
Something else	10	0	0.0	0.0
Don't want to or can't say	11	1	0.1	0.2
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	550	54.8	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T6] Household composition**Question***Household composition***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
One-person household	1	215	21.4	21.4
Living with parent(s)	2	217	21.6	21.6
Shared flat (e.g. student housing)	3	64	6.4	6.4
Married/cohabiting, no children	4	299	29.8	29.8

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Lone parent with own children	5	9	0.9	0.9
Married/cohabiting with children	6	193	19.2	19.2
Something else	7	6	0.6	0.6
Don't want to say	8	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T7] Housing tenure

Question

Housing tenure

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Owner occupied	1	428	42.7	42.7
Rented	2	551	54.9	54.9
Right of residence	3	10	1.0	1.0
Something else	4	14	1.4	1.4
Don't want to say	5	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T8] Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Question

Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	217	21.6	21.6
No	2	785	78.3	78.3
Can't say	3	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T9] If yes, how many months in total?

Question

If yes, how many months in total?

Descriptive statistics

statistic	value
number of valid cases	217
minimum	0.00
maximum	12.00
mean	4.15
standard deviation	3.25

[T10] Have you been unemployed for at least six months in the past three years?**Question**

Have you been unemployed for at least six months in the past three years?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Yes	1	164	16.4	16.4
No	2	838	83.5	83.5
Can't say	3	1	0.1	0.1
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T11] Do you have...**Question**

Do you have...

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Fixed-line telephone at home	1	42	4.2	4.2
Only a mobile phone	2	450	44.9	44.9
Both mobile and fixed-line telephone at home	3	511	50.9	50.9
Can't say	4	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C1] Do you use the Internet: At home**Question**

Do you use the Internet: At home

2. Variables

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	675	67.3	67.3
Mentioned	1	328	32.7	32.7
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C2] Do you use the Internet: At workplace

Question

Do you use the Internet: At workplace

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	759	75.7	75.7
Mentioned	1	244	24.3	24.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C3] Do you use the Internet: At an educational facility

Question

Do you use the Internet: At an educational facility

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	674	67.2	67.2
Mentioned	1	329	32.8	32.8
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C4] Do you use the Internet: In the library

Question

Do you use the Internet: In the library

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	904	90.1	90.1
Mentioned	1	99	9.9	9.9
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C5] Do you use the Internet: Somewhere else

Question

Do you use the Internet: Somewhere else

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	924	92.1	92.1
Mentioned	1	79	7.9	7.9
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C6] Do you use the Internet: Nowhere

Question

Do you use the Internet: Nowhere

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	769	76.7	76.7
Mentioned	1	234	23.3	23.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T12C7] Do you use the Internet: Can't say

Question

Do you use the Internet: Can't say

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Not mentioned	0	1003	100.0	100.0

(continued on next page)

2. Variables

(cont. from previous page)

label	value	n	%	v. %
Mentioned	1	0	0.0	0.0
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T13] How often do you use the Internet?

Question

How often do you use the Internet?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Daily, several times a day	1	210	20.9	27.3
On 5-7 days a week	2	141	14.1	18.3
On 3-4 days a week	3	114	11.4	14.8
On 1-2 days a week	4	167	16.7	21.7
More rarely	5	137	13.7	17.8
Can't say	6	0	0.0	0.0
missing data (SYSMIS)	.	234	23.3	—
		1003	100.0	100.0

[T14] Next general election will be the municipal elections. How certain are you that you will vote in them?

Question

Next general election will be the municipal elections. How certain are you that you will vote in them?

Frequencies

label	value	n	%	v. %
Will certainly vote	1	379	37.8	37.8
Will probably vote	2	413	41.2	41.2
Will probably not vote	3	157	15.7	15.7
Will certainly not vote	4	31	3.1	3.1
Can't say	5	23	2.3	2.3
		1003	100.0	100.0

[BV1] Respondent's year of birth**Question***Respondent's year of birth***Descriptive statistics**

statistic	value
number of valid cases	1003
minimum	1969.00
maximum	1981.00
mean	1975.22
standard deviation	3.68

[BV3] Language**Question***Language***Frequencies**

label	value	n	%	v. %
Finnish	1	977	97.4	97.4
Swedish	2	26	2.6	2.6
		1003	100.0	100.0

Chapter 3

Indexes

3.1 Variables in the order of occurrence

FSD study number [FSD_NO]	5
FSD edition number [FSD_VR]	5
FSD processing level [FSD_PRO]	6
FSD case id [FSD_ID]	6
Respondent's gender [SUKU]	6
How interested are you in matters related to the presidential elections? [K1]	7
During the election campaign of the first round, to what extent do you think issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks were raised? [K3A_1]	7
During the election campaign of the first round, was there public criticism of the candidates' political activities? [K3A_2]	8
During the election campaign of the first round, were any unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives brought to public attention? [K3A_3]	8
During the election campaign of the first round, did the spouses/partners of the candidates participate in the campaign? [K3A_4]	8
How beneficial do you feel it is that candidates comment on issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks? [K3B_1]	9
How beneficial do you find public criticism of the candidates' political activities? [K3B_2] ..	9
How beneficial do you find that unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives are exposed [K3B_3]	10
How beneficial do you find that the candidates' spouses/partners participate in the election campaign [K3B_4]	10
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Work colleagues or fellow students [K4A]	10
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Home, parents or relatives [K4B]	11

3. Indexes

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Other friends [K4C] 11

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs articles in newspapers [K4D] 12

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on the radio [K4E] 12

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on TV [K4F] 13

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Books, magazines and other written sources [K4G] 13

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Internet [K4H] 13

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Election campaigns in general [K4I] 14

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements in newspapers [K4J] 14

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements on television and the radio [K4K] 15

If you think about times when there are no elections, how often do you discuss politics with other people? [K5] 15

How often did you discuss issues related to the first round of the recent presidential elections during the election campaign? [K6] 16

Voting in presidential elections is a civic duty [K7A] 16

The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on my life [K7B] 17

During the first round, there was at least one candidate who I liked [K7C] 17

You can influence things by voting in presidential elections [K7D] 17

Voting in presidential elections is more interesting than voting in parliamentary elections [K7E] 18

Basically, I think that the president should be a man [K7F] 18

The recently elected president should have more powers in domestic affairs than the previous president had [K7G] 19

Many voters chose not to vote in the first round. What did you do? [K8] 19

(IF VOTED) How self-evident was it for you to vote? [K9] 19

When did you decide on the candidate you voted for in the first round? [K10] 20

Which of the following candidates did you vote for in the first round? [K11] 20

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's political party [K12A] 21

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender [K12B] 21

To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age [K12C]	22
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's educational background [K12D]	22
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's expertise in foreign affairs [K12E]	23
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had experience of acting and working in the international sphere [K12F]	23
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good language skills [K12G]	24
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is empathetic [K12H]	24
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a good public performer [K12I]	25
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's stylishness and elegance [K12J]	25
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's determination and assertiveness [K12K]	26
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's irreproachable private life [K12L]	26
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's Nato membership [K13A]	27
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's EU policy [K13B]	27
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's relations to non-EU countries [K13C]	28
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of unemployment [K13D]	28
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the economic policy of Finland [K13E]	29
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the security and defence policy of Finland [K13F]	29
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on and actions in gender equality issues [K13G]	30
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of poverty and social exclusion [K13H]	30
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on moral and ethical issues [K13I]	31
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on crime reduction [K13J]	31

3. Indexes

To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on regional policy [K13K]	32
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's public image in terms of the image of Finland [K13L]	32
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on environmental issues [K13M]	33
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good election campaign [K13N]	33
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good rating in opinion polls [K13O]	34
I wanted to vote for a candidate who had a good chance of winning the second round of the elections [K14A]	34
The candidate I voted for was not my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had a good chance of being elected to the second round [K14B]	34
I wanted to vote for the candidate, because opinion polls did not promise him/her a good rating in the first round [K14C]	35
(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it for you not to vote in the first round? [K15] .	35
Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not find a suitable candidate [K16A]	36
Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not vote due to a trip, an illness, work obligations etc. [K16B]	36
Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not be bothered to vote [K16C]	36
Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not think voting would benefit you in any way [K16D]	37
Importance of various factors in not voting: You thought one vote would not make a difference to the final outcome of the elections [K16E]	37
Importance of various factors in not voting: You do not trust politicians and politics in general [K16F]	38
Importance of various factors in not voting: You wanted to protest against politics and politicians [K16G]	38
Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not have enough information about candidates [K16H]	39
Importance of various factors in not voting: Politics does not interest you [K16I]	39
Importance of various factors in not voting: Presidential elections do not interest you [K16J]	39
Importance of various factors in not voting: You had other things to do [K16K]	40
Importance of various factors in not voting: The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on your life [K16L]	40
Importance of various factors in not voting: The candidate you preferred had no chance of getting elected to the second round [K16M]	41
Importance of various factors in not voting: Because of miserable weather, you just could not	

manage to drag yourself to the polling station [K16N]	41
Will you vote in the second round or not? [K17]	41
Which one will you vote for in the second round: Esko Aho (KESK, male) or Tarja Halonen (SDP, female) [K18]	42
Which of the following political parties do you like the most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which party does (s)he dislike the least) [K20]	42
Which of the following political parties do you like the second most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which does (s)he dislike the second least) [K21]	43
Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with friends [K22A]	43
Importance in respondent's life: Having good manners and following traditions in own life [K22B]	44
Importance in respondent's life: Leading enjoyable and exciting life [K22C]	44
Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with family [K22D]	45
Importance in respondent's life: Having plenty of leisure activities [K22E]	45
Importance in respondent's life: Resting, relaxing, doing nothing [K22F]	45
Importance in respondent's life: Succeeding in life better than other people [K22G]	46
Importance in respondent's life: Doing sport, taking exercise [K22H]	46
Importance in respondent's life: Being efficient and productive both in working and private life [K22I]	47
Importance in respondent's life: Gaining new experiences [K22J]	47
Importance in respondent's life: Environmentally friendly lifestyle [K22K]	47
Importance in respondent's life: Having influence both in working life and outside it [K22L]	48
Importance in respondent's life: Healthy lifestyle [K22M]	48
Importance in respondent's life: Trying to make the world a better place to live in [K22N]	49
Importance in respondent's life: Good income [K22O]	49
Importance in respondent's life: Life-long education and self-development also during free time [K22P]	49
Respondent's vocational education [T3]	50
Respondent's present economic activity [T4]	50
Respondent's occupational group [T5]	51
Household composition [T6]	51
Housing tenure [T7]	52
Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months? [T8]	52
If yes, how many months in total? [T9]	52
Have you been unemployed for at least six months in the past three years? [T10]	53
Do you have... [T11]	53

3. Indexes

Do you use the Internet: At home [T12C1] 53

Do you use the Internet: At workplace [T12C2]54

Do you use the Internet: At an educational facility [T12C3] 54

Do you use the Internet: In the library [T12C4] 54

Do you use the Internet: Somewhere else [T12C5] 55

Do you use the Internet: Nowhere [T12C6]55

Do you use the Internet: Can't say [T12C7] 55

How often do you use the Internet? [T13] 56

Next general election will be the municipal elections. How certain are you that you will vote in them? [T14]56

Respondent's year of birth [BV1] 57

Language [BV3]57

3.2 Variables in alphabetical order

Basically, I think that the president should be a man	18
Do you have... ..	53
Do you use the Internet: At an educational facility	54
Do you use the Internet: At home	53
Do you use the Internet: At workplace	54
Do you use the Internet: Can't say	55
Do you use the Internet: In the library	54
Do you use the Internet: Nowhere	55
Do you use the Internet: Somewhere else	55
During the election campaign of the first round, did the spouses/partners of the candidates participate in the campaign?	8
During the election campaign of the first round, to what extent do you think issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks were raised?	7
During the election campaign of the first round, was there public criticism of the candidates' political activities?	8
During the election campaign of the first round, were any unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives brought to public attention?	8
During the first round, there was at least one candidate who I liked	17
FSD case id	6
FSD edition number	5
FSD processing level	6
FSD study number	5
Have you been unemployed for at least six months in the past three years?	53
Have you been unemployed in the past 12 months?	52
Household composition	51
Housing tenure	52
How beneficial do you feel it is that candidates comment on issues unrelated to presidential powers or tasks?	9
How beneficial do you find public criticism of the candidates' political activities?	9
How beneficial do you find that the candidates' spouses/partners participate in the election campaign	10
How beneficial do you find that unfavourable aspects of the candidates' private lives are exposed	10
How interested are you in matters related to the presidential elections?	7
How often did you discuss issues related to the first round of the recent presidential elections	

3. Indexes

during the election campaign?	16
How often do you use the Internet?	56
I wanted to vote for a candidate who had a good chance of winning the second round of the elections	34
I wanted to vote for the candidate, because opinion polls did not promise him/her a good rating in the first round	35
(IF DID NOT VOTE) How self-evident was it for you not to vote in the first round?	35
(IF VOTED) How self-evident was it for you to vote?	19
If yes, how many months in total?	52
If you think about times when there are no elections, how often do you discuss politics with other people?	15
Importance in respondent's life: Being efficient and productive both in working and private life	47
Importance in respondent's life: Doing sport, taking exercise	46
Importance in respondent's life: Environmentally friendly lifestyle	47
Importance in respondent's life: Gaining new experiences	47
Importance in respondent's life: Good income	49
Importance in respondent's life: Having good manners and following traditions in own life	44
Importance in respondent's life: Having influence both in working life and outside it	48
Importance in respondent's life: Having plenty of leisure activities	45
Importance in respondent's life: Healthy lifestyle	48
Importance in respondent's life: Leading enjoyable and exciting life	44
Importance in respondent's life: Life-long education and self-development also during free time	49
Importance in respondent's life: Resting, relaxing, doing nothing	45
Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with family	45
Importance in respondent's life: Spending time with friends	43
Importance in respondent's life: Succeeding in life better than other people	46
Importance in respondent's life: Trying to make the world a better place to live in	49
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Books, magazines and other written sources	13
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements in newspapers	14
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Candidates' advertisements on television and the radio	15
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Election campaigns in general	14

Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Home, parents or relatives	11
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Internet	13
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs articles in newspapers	12
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on the radio	12
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: News and current affairs programmes on TV	13
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Other friends	11
Importance of information sources in relation to voting decision during the first round: Work colleagues or fellow students	10
Importance of various factors in not voting: Because of miserable weather, you just could not manage to drag yourself to the polling station	41
Importance of various factors in not voting: Politics does not interest you	39
Importance of various factors in not voting: Presidential elections do not interest you	39
Importance of various factors in not voting: The candidate you preferred had no chance of getting elected to the second round	41
Importance of various factors in not voting: The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on your life	40
Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not be bothered to vote	36
Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not find a suitable candidate	36
Importance of various factors in not voting: You could not vote due to a trip, an illness, work obligations etc.	36
Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not have enough information about candidates	39
Importance of various factors in not voting: You did not think voting would benefit you in any way	37
Importance of various factors in not voting: You do not trust politicians and politics in general	38
Importance of various factors in not voting: You had other things to do	40
Importance of various factors in not voting: You thought one vote would not make a difference to the final outcome of the elections	37
Importance of various factors in not voting: You wanted to protest against politics and politicians	38
Language	57
Many voters chose not to vote in the first round. What did you do?	19

3. Indexes

Next general election will be the municipal elections. How certain are you that you will vote in them?	56
Respondent's gender	6
Respondent's occupational group	51
Respondent's present economic activity	50
Respondent's vocational education	50
Respondent's year of birth	57
The candidate I voted for was not my first choice. Instead, I voted for a candidate who had a good chance of being elected to the second round	34
The decisions and actions of the president have no influence on my life	17
The recently elected president should have more powers in domestic affairs than the previous president had	19
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate had experience of acting and working in the international sphere	23
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is a good public performer	25
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate is empathetic	24
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's age	22
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's determination and assertiveness	26
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's educational background	22
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's expertise in foreign affairs	23
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's gender	21
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good language skills	24
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's irreproachable private life	26
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's political party	21
To what extent did the following characteristics influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's stylishness and elegance	25
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good election campaign	33
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's good	

rating in opinion polls	34
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's public image in terms of the image of Finland	32
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on and actions in gender equality issues	30
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on crime reduction	31
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on environmental issues	33
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's EU policy	27
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's Nato membership	27
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on Finland's relations to non-EU countries	28
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on moral and ethical issues	31
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on regional policy	32
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the economic policy of Finland	29
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of poverty and social exclusion	30
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the reduction of unemployment	28
To what extent did the following things influence your choice of candidate: Candidate's views on the security and defence policy of Finland	29
Voting in presidential elections is a civic duty	16
Voting in presidential elections is more interesting than voting in parliamentary elections ..	18
When did you decide on the candidate you voted for in the first round?	20
Which of the following candidates did you vote for in the first round?	20
Which of the following political parties do you like the most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which party does (s)he dislike the least)	42
Which of the following political parties do you like the second most? (If respondent has no preferred party, which does (s)he dislike the second least)	43
Which one will you vote for in the second round: Esko Aho (KESK, male) or Tarja Halonen (SDP, female)	42
Will you vote in the second round or not?	41
You can influence things by voting in presidential elections	17

Appendix A

Questionnaire in Finnish

KYSELYLOMAKE

Tämä kyselylomake on osa Yhteiskuntatieteelliseen tietoaarkistoon arkistoitua tutkimusaineistoa

FSD1048 Nuoret ja presidentinvaalit 2000

Kyselylomaketta hyödyntävien tulee viitata siihen asianmukaisesti lähdeviitteellä.

Lisätiedot: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is part of the following dataset, archived at the Finnish Social Science Data Archive:

FSD1048 Young People and Presidential Elections 2000

If this questionnaire is used or referred to in any publication, the source must be acknowledged by means of an appropriate bibliographic citation.

More information: <http://www.fsd.uta.fi/>

<SUKU> Sukupuoli

Nainen	50%	503	1003
Mies	50%	500	

<K1>

Kuinka kiinnostunut olet tasavallan presidentin vaaleihin liittyvistä asioista?

LUETTELE 1-4

Hyvin kiinnostunut	24%	239	1003
Jonkin verran kiinnostunut	60%	598	
Vain vähän kiinnostunut	14%	136	
Ei lainkaan kiinnostunut	3%	30	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K2>

Millaisia ominaisuuksia hyvällä presidentillä tulisi mielestäsi olla? Kerro mielipiteesi omin sanoin?

Avoim vastaus	87%	875	1003
Ei osaa sanoa	13%	128	

----- 0% 0

----- 0% 0

| Kuinka paljon ensimmäisen kierroksen kampanjointiin liittyi seuraavia |
asioita? Vaihtoehdot ovat paljon, jonkin verran tai ei lainkaan.

<K3A_1>

Aloitetaan. Kuinka paljon ensimmäisen kierroksen kampanjointiin liittyi mielestäsi kannanottoja asioihin, jotka eivät liity presidentin toimenkuvaan tai valtaoikeuksiin

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Paljon	17%	175	1003
Jonkin verran	70%	699	
Ei lainkaan	7%	66	
Ei osaa sanoa	6%	63	

<K3A_2>

Entä havaitsitko ehdokkaiden poliittisen toiminnan julkista arvostelemista?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Paljon	8%	81	1003
Jonkin verran	65%	647	
Ei lainkaan	24%	244	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	31	

<K3A_3>

Entä ehdokkaiden yksityiselämän heikkojen kohtien esiinnostamista?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Paljon	21%	206	1003
Jonkin verran	51%	514	
Ei lainkaan	27%	268	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	15	

<K3A_4>

Entä kuinka paljon havaitsit kampanjan aikana ehdokkaiden puolison mukanaoloa kampanjassa?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Paljon	26%	257	1003
Jonkin verran	61%	609	
Ei lainkaan	12%	125	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	12	

| Kuinka toivottavana pidät seuraavien piirteiden sisällymistä presidentin |
| vaalien kampanjaan? Vaihtoehdot ovat toivottavana, en kovin toivottavana |
tai ei lainkaan toivottavana.

<K3B_1>

Kuinka toivottavana pidät kannanottoja asioihin, jotka eivät liity presidentin toimenkuvaan tai valtaoikeuksiin?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Toivottavana	22%	220	1003
Ei kovin toivottavana	49%	496	
Ei lainkaan toivottavana	27%	271	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	16	

<K3B_2>

Kuinka toivottavana pidät ehdokkaiden poliittisen toiminnan julkista arvostelemista?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Toivottavana	46%	463	1003
Ei kovin toivottavana	40%	400	
Ei lainkaan toivottavana	11%	111	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	29	

<K3B_3>

Kuinka toivottavana pidät ehdokkaiden yksityiselämän heikkojen kohtien esiinnostamista?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Toivottavana	6%	61	1003
Ei kovin toivottavana	28%	282	
Ei lainkaan toivottavana	64%	645	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	15	

<K3B_4>

Kuinka toivottavana pidät puolison mukanaoloa kampanjassa?

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Toivottavana	42%	426	1003
Ei kovin toivottavana	35%	352	
Ei lainkaan toivottavana	19%	189	
Ei osaa sanoa	4%	36	

 | Seuraavassa on lueteltu tahoja, joiden kautta ihmiset voivat saada tukea |
 | presidentin vaalien äänestyspäätöksiin vaalikampanjan aikana. Kuinka |
 | tärkeitä mainitut tietolähteet olivat sinulle presidentinvaalien |
 | ensimmäisen kierroksen vaalikampanjan aikana ajatellen omia äänestämiseen |
liittyviä harkintojasi?

<K4A>

Työ- tai opiskelukaverit

LUETTELE 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	3%	32	1003
Melko tärkeä	15%	154	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	33%	328	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	48%	485	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	4	

<K4B>

Koti, vanhemmat tai sukulaiset

LUETTELE 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	5%	52	1003
Melko tärkeä	30%	296	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	29%	293	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	36%	360	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K4C>

Muut ystävät ja tuttavat

LUETTELE 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	2%	22	1003
Melko tärkeä	18%	185	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	36%	366	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	43%	429	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K4D>

Sanomalehtien uutis- ja ajankohtaisjutut

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	17%	175	1003
Melko tärkeä	55%	547	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	16%	158	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	12%	122	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K4E>

Radion uutis- ja ajankohtaisohjelmat

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	10%	98	1003
Melko tärkeä	33%	334	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	24%	244	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	32%	322	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	5	

<K4F>

TV:n uutis- ja ajankohtaisohjelmat

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	37%	374	1003
Melko tärkeä	47%	468	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	8%	84	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	8%	76	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K4G>

Kirjat, aikakauslehdet ja muut kirjalliset lähteet

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	3%	34	1003
Melko tärkeä	27%	270	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	37%	368	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	33%	328	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	3	

<K4H>

Internet

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	3%	35	1003
Melko tärkeä	16%	159	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	17%	172	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	63%	632	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	5	

<K4I>

Ehdokkaiden vaalikampanjat yleensä

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	9%	86	1003
Melko tärkeä	42%	423	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	29%	286	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	20%	198	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	10	

<K4J>

Ehdokkaiden mainokset lehdissä

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	2%	24	1003
Melko tärkeä	23%	228	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	37%	369	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	38%	378	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	4	

<K4K>

Ehdokkaiden mainonta televisiossa ja radiossa

LUETTELE 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	5%	55	1003
Melko tärkeä	34%	346	
Ei kovinkaan tärkeä	31%	311	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	29%	290	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K5>

Jos ei ajatella vaalien läheisiä aikoja, niin kuinka usein keskusteleet politiikasta muiden ihmisten kanssa? Päivittäin tai lähes päivittäin, usein, joskus, harvoin vai et koskaan?

Päivittäin tai lähes päivittäin	10%	105	1003
Usein	17%	168	
Joskus	33%	326	
Harvoin	35%	352	
En koskaan	5%	52	
En osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K6>

Entä kuinka usein keskustelit äsken käydyistä presidentinvaalien ensimmäisen kierroksen asioista muiden ihmisten kanssa vaalikampanjan aikana?

LUETTELE 1-5

Päivittäin tai lähes päivittäin	40%	404	1003
Usein	30%	298	
Joskus	19%	193	
Harvoin	10%	99	
En koskaan	1%	9	
En osaa sanoa	0%	0	

Mitä mieltä olet seuraavista väittämistä. Oletko täysin samaa mieltä, osittain samaa mieltä, osittain eri mieltä vai täysin eri mieltä väittämien kanssa?

<K7A>

Äänestämässä käynti presidentinvaaleissa on mielestäni kansalaisvelvollisuus

Täysin samaa mieltä	66%	663	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	25%	253	
Osittain eri mieltä	5%	54	
Täysin eri mieltä	3%	32	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K7B>

Tasavallan presidentin tekemillä päätöksillä ja toiminnalla ei ole mitään vaikutusta omaan elämään

Täysin samaa mieltä	6%	62	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	23%	228	
Osittain eri mieltä	43%	431	
Täysin eri mieltä	28%	276	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	6	

<K7C>

Presidentin vaalien ensimmäisellä kierroksella oli tarjolla ainakin yksi minulle mieluinen ehdokas

Täysin samaa mieltä	73%	735	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	15%	148	
Osittain eri mieltä	5%	53	
Täysin eri mieltä	6%	61	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	6	

<K7D>

Äänestämällä presidentinvaaleissa voi vaikuttaa asioihin

Täysin samaa mieltä	49%	494	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	34%	344	
Osittain eri mieltä	12%	123	
Täysin eri mieltä	4%	40	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K7E>

Äänestäminen presidentinvaaleissa on kiinnostavampaa kuin eduskuntavaaleissa

Täysin samaa mieltä	48%	486	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	29%	286	
Osittain eri mieltä	14%	139	
Täysin eri mieltä	6%	63	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	29	

<K7F>

Pohjimmiltani olen sitä mieltä, että presidentin tulee olla mies			
Täysin samaa mieltä	11%	112	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	10%	97	
Osittain eri mieltä	16%	162	
Täysin eri mieltä	62%	621	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	11	

<K7G>

Uudella presidentillä pitäisi olla enemmän sisäpoliittisia valtaoikeuksia kuin edeltäjällään			
Täysin samaa mieltä	15%	149	1003
Osittain samaa mieltä	30%	300	
Osittain eri mieltä	32%	317	
Täysin eri mieltä	17%	167	
Ei osaa sanoa	7%	70	

<K8>

Monet valitsijat jättivät äänestämättä presidentin vaalien ensimmäisellä kierroksella. Jätitkö itse nyt äänestämättä, äänestitkö ennakkoon vai äänestitkö varsinaisena vaalipäivänä?			
Jätin äänestämättä	14%	140	1003
Äänestin ennakkoon	31%	311	
Kävin äänestämässä varsinaisena vaalipäivänä	55%	549	
Ei halua sanoa	0%	3	

JOS ÄÄNESTI

<K9>

Kuinka itsestään selvää äänestämässä käynti oli sinulle?			
LUETTELE 1-3			
Itsestään selvää	87%	745	860
Äänestämättä jättäminen kävi kyllä mielessä, mutta et harkinnut sitä vakavasti	11%	96	
Harkitsit vakavasti myös äänestämättä jättämistä	2%	19	
En osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K10>

Missä vaiheessa päätit ehdokkaan jota äänestit ensimmäisellä kierroksella?			
LUETTELE 1-4			
Samana päivänä kun kävin äänestämässä	10%	84	860
Joitakin päiviä ennen äänestämistä	23%	195	
Noin viikkoa kahta ennen äänestämistäni	32%	271	
Päätös oli selvä jo viime vuoden puolella	36%	309	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K11>

Ketä seuraavista ehdokkaista äänestit ensimmäisellä kierroksella?			
LUETTELE 1-7			
Esko Aho	29%	248	860
Ilkka Hakalehto	0%	4	
Tarja Halonen	34%	290	
Heidi Hautala	7%	63	
Risto Kuisma	1%	6	
Elisabeth Rehn	6%	55	
Riitta Uosukainen	11%	92	
Ei halua sanoa/äänesti tyhjää	12%	102	

| Missä määrin seuraavat ominaisuudet vaikuttivat omaan |
| ehdokasvalintaasi? Vaihtoehdot ovat |
| vaikutti erittäin paljon, |
| melko paljon, |
| ei juurikaan, |
ei lainkaan?

<K12A>

Ehdokkaan puolueesta

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	12%	101	860
Melko paljon	31%	270	
Ei juurikaan	29%	251	
Ei lainkaan	28%	238	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K12B>

Ehdokkaan sukupuoli

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	10%	87	860
Melko paljon	28%	239	
Ei juurikaan	26%	227	
Ei lainkaan	35%	305	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K12C>

Ehdokkaan ikä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	4%	33	860
Melko paljon	23%	196	
Ei juurikaan	29%	253	
Ei lainkaan	44%	378	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K12D>

Ehdokkaan koulutustausta

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	7%	63	860
Melko paljon	45%	391	
Ei juurikaan	24%	209	
Ei lainkaan	22%	189	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	8	

<K12E>

Ehdokkaan ulkopoliittinen kokemus

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	26%	224	860
Melko paljon	51%	436	
Ei juurikaan	16%	136	
Ei lainkaan	7%	59	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	5	

<K12F>

Ehdokkaan muu kokemus ja toiminta kansainvälisessä toimintaympäristössä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	30%	262	860
Melko paljon	55%	469	
Ei juurikaan	11%	93	
Ei lainkaan	4%	32	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	4	

<K12G>

Ehdokkaan kielitaito

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	16%	134	860
Melko paljon	43%	374	
Ei juurikaan	24%	204	
Ei lainkaan	15%	127	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	21	

<K12H>

Ehdokkaan empaattisuus

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	15%	126	860
Melko paljon	51%	435	
Ei juurikaan	24%	208	
Ei lainkaan	9%	78	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	13	

<K12I>

Ehdokkaan esiintymiskyky

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	34%	295	860
Melko paljon	57%	487	
Ei juurikaan	8%	66	
Ei lainkaan	1%	12	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K12J>

Ehdokkaan ulkoisen olemuksen edustavuus ja tyylikkyys

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	19%	166	860
Melko paljon	46%	395	
Ei juurikaan	24%	210	
Ei lainkaan	10%	87	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K12K>

Ehdokkaan määrätietoisuus ja jäämäkkyys

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	41%	351	860
Melko paljon	52%	443	
Ei juurikaan	6%	50	
Ei lainkaan	2%	15	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K12L>

Ehdokkaan yksityiselämän nuhteettomuus

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	5%	41	860
Melko paljon	20%	174	
Ei juurikaan	36%	306	
Ei lainkaan	38%	329	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	10	

Missä määrin seuraavat asiat vaikuttivat omaan ehdokasvalintaasi?
 Vaihtoehdot ovat edelleen
 vaikutti erittäin paljon,
 melko paljon,
 ei juurikaan,
 ei lainkaan?

<K13A>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset Suomen mahdollisesta Nato-jäsenyydestä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	10%	88	860
Melko paljon	34%	290	
Ei juurikaan	31%	267	
Ei lainkaan	22%	192	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	23	

<K13B>

Ehdokkaan linjaukset Suomen toiminnasta EU:ssa

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	11%	98	860
Melko paljon	55%	471	
Ei juurikaan	21%	179	
Ei lainkaan	11%	92	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	20	

<K13C>

Ehdokkaan linjaukset Suomen suhteista EU:n ulkopuolisiin maihin

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	9%	79	860
Melko paljon	50%	431	
Ei juurikaan	26%	226	
Ei lainkaan	12%	101	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	23	

<K13D>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset työttömyyden vähentämisestä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	19%	160	860
Melko paljon	49%	418	
Ei juurikaan	22%	187	
Ei lainkaan	10%	82	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	13	

<K13E>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset maan talouspolitiikasta

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	13%	113	860
Melko paljon	50%	434	
Ei juurikaan	25%	217	
Ei lainkaan	9%	78	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	18	

<K13F>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset maan turvallisuus- ja puolustuspolitiikasta

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	19%	164	860
Melko paljon	54%	468	
Ei juurikaan	19%	160	
Ei lainkaan	7%	60	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	8	

<K13G>

Ehdokkaan toiminta ja näkemykset sukupuolten tasa-arvoa koskevissa asioissa

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	12%	107	860
Melko paljon	42%	361	
Ei juurikaan	29%	248	
Ei lainkaan	15%	125	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	19	

<K13H>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset köyhyyden ja syrjäytymisen vähentämiseksi

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	20%	172	860
Melko paljon	52%	451	
Ei juurikaan	19%	166	
Ei lainkaan	7%	56	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	15	

<K13I>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset moraalisisissa ja eettisissä kysymyksissä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	19%	166	860
Melko paljon	47%	406	
Ei juurikaan	23%	196	
Ei lainkaan	8%	70	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	22	

<K13J>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset rikollisuuden vähentämiseksi

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	13%	108	860
Melko paljon	39%	337	
Ei juurikaan	30%	258	
Ei lainkaan	15%	131	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	26	

<K13K>

Ehdokkaan aluepoliittiset näkemykset

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	11%	91	860
Melko paljon	40%	345	
Ei juurikaan	34%	292	
Ei lainkaan	13%	110	
Ei osaa sanoa	3%	22	

<K13L>

Ehdokkaan imago Suomi-kuvan kannalta

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	26%	226	860
Melko paljon	50%	427	
Ei juurikaan	16%	138	
Ei lainkaan	7%	58	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	11	

<K13M>

Ehdokkaan näkemykset ympäristöasioista

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	13%	110	860
Melko paljon	48%	417	
Ei juurikaan	27%	233	
Ei lainkaan	10%	82	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	18	

<K13N>

Ehdokkaan hyvä kampanja

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	6%	54	860
Melko paljon	31%	265	
Ei juurikaan	39%	339	
Ei lainkaan	22%	191	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	11	

<K13O>

Ehdokkaan menestyminen julkistetuissa kannatusmittauksissa

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin paljon	2%	20	860
Melko paljon	17%	146	
Ei juurikaan	32%	275	
Ei lainkaan	48%	412	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	7	

Mitä mieltä olet seuraavista väittämistä. Oletko samaa vai eri mieltä?

<K14A>

Halusin äänestää nimenomaan sellaista ehdokasta, jolla oli hyvät mahdollisuudet selvitä voittajaksi vaalin toisella kierroksella

Samaa mieltä	28%	243	860
Eri mieltä	71%	608	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	9	

<K14B>

Äänestämäni ehdokas ei ollut alunperin ensisijainen ehdokkaani. Sen sijaan äänestin sellaista ehdokasta, jolla katsoin olevan mahdollisuudet päästä vaalin toiselle kierrokselle

Samaa mieltä	10%	83	860
Eri mieltä	89%	764	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	13	

<K14C>

Halusin äänestää ehdokasta siksi, että kannatusmittaukset eivät luvanneet hänelle hyvää menestystä vaalin ensimmäisellä kierroksella

Samaa mieltä	4%	36	860
Eri mieltä	95%	816	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	8	

EI ÄÄNESTÄNYT

<K15>

Kuinka itsestään selvää äänestämättä jättäminen oli sinulle ensimmäisellä kierroksella?

LUETTELE 1-3

Itsestään selvää	25%	35	140
Äänestämässä käynti kävi kyllä mielessä, mutta et harkinnut sitä vakavasti	31%	43	
Harkitsit vakavasti myös äänestämässä käyntiä	41%	58	
En osaa sanoa	3%	4	

| Kuinka paljon seuraavat syyt vaikuttivat äänestämättä jättämiseesi |
| presidentinvaaleissa? Oliko syy tärkeä, vaikuttiko se jonkin verran vai |
eikö se vaikuttanut lainkaan?

<K16A>

Sinun oli vaikea löytää itsellesi sopivaa ehdokasta

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	26%	37	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	19%	26	
Ei lainkaan	53%	74	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	3	

<K16B>

Olit estynyt äänestämään matkan, sairauden, työesteen, tms. vuoksi

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	36%	51	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	10%	14	
Ei lainkaan	54%	75	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16C>

Et vain viitsinyt käydä äänestämässä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	22%	31	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	20%	28	
Ei lainkaan	58%	81	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16D>

Et katsonut äänestämisestä olevan itsellesi mitään hyötyä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	21%	30	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	24%	34	
Ei lainkaan	54%	75	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	1	

<K16E>

Mielestäsi yksi ääni ei olisi merkinnyt mitään vaalien lopputulokseen

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	29%	40	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	21%	29	
Ei lainkaan	49%	68	
Ei osaa sanoa	2%	3	

<K16F>

Tunnet epäluottamusta poliitikkoja ja yleensä politiikkaa kohtaan

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	24%	34	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	34%	47	
Ei lainkaan	41%	58	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	1	

<K16G>

Halusit protestoida politiikkaa ja poliitikkoja vastaan

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	6%	9	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	15%	21	
Ei lainkaan	77%	108	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	2	

<K16H>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska sinulla ei ollut riittävästi tietoa ehdokkaista

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	13%	18	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	23%	32	
Ei lainkaan	64%	90	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16I>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska politiikka ei kiinnosta sinua

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	26%	37	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	30%	42	
Ei lainkaan	44%	61	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16J>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska presidentinvaalit eivät kiinnosta sinua

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	14%	19	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	24%	34	
Ei lainkaan	62%	87	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16K>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska sinulla oli muuta tekemistä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	27%	38	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	20%	28	
Ei lainkaan	53%	74	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16L>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska presidentin päätöksillä ja toiminnalla ei ole mitään vaikutusta omaan elämääsi

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	13%	18	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	21%	29	
Ei lainkaan	66%	93	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K16M>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska mieleiselläsi ehdokkaalla ei ollut mahdollisuuksia päästä vaalin toiselle kierrokselle

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	10%	14	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	11%	15	
Ei lainkaan	79%	110	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	1	

<K16N>

Jätit äänestämättä, koska kurjan sään takia ei tullut lähdettyä äänestämään

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA

Tärkeä	1%	2	140
Vaikutti jonkin verran	4%	5	
Ei lainkaan	94%	132	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	1	

<K17>

Käytkö äänestämässä vaalin toisella kierroksella vai et?

Kyllä	92%	927	1003
Ei	4%	44	
Ei halua sanoa	3%	32	

JOS KÄY

<K18>

Kumpaa ehdokasta äänestät toisella kierroksella Esko Ahoa vai Tarja

Halosta?

Esko Aho	34%	316	927
Tarja Halonen	47%	434	
Ei osaa sanoa	19%	177	

<K20>

Mitä seuraavista puolueista on sinulle kaikkein mieluisin?
JOS VASTAAJALLA EI MIELUISINTA NIIN MIKÄ ON VÄHITEN EPÄMIELUISIA.
LUETTELE 1-10

Suomen sosialidemokraattinen puolue (SDP)	15%	154	1003
Suomen Keskusta (KESK)	16%	162	
Kansallinen Kokoomus (KOK)	21%	214	
Vasemmistoliitto (VAS)	2%	25	
Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)	2%	16	
Vihreä Liitto (VIHR)	15%	153	
Suomen Kristillinen Liitto (SKL)	2%	17	
Perussuomalaiset (PS)	1%	7	
Remonttiryhmä (REM)	1%	8	
Jokin muu puolue	0%	4	
Ei mitään puoluetta	14%	142	
Ei osaa sanoa	10%	101	

JOS K20=1-10

<K21>

Entä mikä seuraavista puolueista on sinulle toiseksi mieluisin?
JOS VASTAAJALLA EI MIELUISINTA NIIN MIKÄ ON TOISEKSI VÄHITEN EPÄMIELUISIA.
LUETTELE

Suomen sosialidemokraattinen puolue (SDP)	18%	138	760
Suomen Keskusta (KESK)	16%	121	
Kansallinen Kokoomus (KOK)	17%	132	
Vasemmistoliitto (VAS)	3%	25	
Ruotsalainen kansanpuolue (RKP)	3%	24	
Vihreä Liitto (VIHR)	14%	109	
Suomen Kristillinen Liitto (SKL)	1%	9	
Perussuomalaiset (PS)	0%	3	
Remonttiryhmä (REM)	2%	12	
Jokin muu puolue	0%	3	
Ei mitään puoluetta	8%	64	
Ei osaa sanoa	16%	120	

| Kuinka tärkeinä pidät seuraavia asioita omassa elämässäsi? |
| Vastausvaihtoehdot ovat |
| erittäin tärkeä, |
| melko tärkeä, |
| ei kovin tärkeä tai |
ei lainkaan tärkeä.

<K22A>

Viettää aikaa ystävien ja kavereiden kanssa

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	66%	657	1003
Melko tärkeä	32%	324	
Ei kovin tärkeä	2%	22	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	0%	0	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K22B>

Noudattaa hyviä tapoja ja perinteitä omassa elämässäsi

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	46%	463	1003
Melko tärkeä	47%	468	
Ei kovin tärkeä	6%	65	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	7	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K22C>

Viettää hauskaa ja jännittävää elämää

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4			
Erittäin tärkeä	28%	285	1003
Melko tärkeä	48%	480	
Ei kovin tärkeä	21%	213	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	2%	23	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K22D>

Viettää aikaa perheen ja lähimmäisten kanssa

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	70%	698	1003
Melko tärkeä	27%	269	
Ei kovin tärkeä	4%	36	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	0%	0	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K22E>

Paljon vapaa-ajan harrastuksia ja muita vastaavia menoja

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	22%	216	1003
Melko tärkeä	52%	525	
Ei kovin tärkeä	24%	245	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	15	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K22F>

Lepäily, joutenolo ja rentoutuminen

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	22%	223	1003
Melko tärkeä	53%	531	
Ei kovin tärkeä	22%	218	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	3%	31	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<K22G>

Toimia siten, että menestyt elämässä useampia muita ihmisiä paremmin

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	9%	94	1003
Melko tärkeä	29%	292	
Ei kovin tärkeä	46%	466	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	14%	143	
Ei osaa sanoa	1%	8	

<K22H>

Harrastaa liikuntaa ja urheilua

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	37%	375	1003
Melko tärkeä	47%	473	
Ei kovin tärkeä	12%	122	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	3%	32	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K22I>

Toimia tehokkaasti ja tuloksellisesti työssä ja yksityiselämässä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	39%	387	1003
Melko tärkeä	51%	511	
Ei kovin tärkeä	9%	90	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	13	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K22J>

Hankkia uusia elämyksiä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	38%	382	1003
Melko tärkeä	52%	520	
Ei kovin tärkeä	9%	91	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	8	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	2	

<K22K>

Toimia ympäristöystävällisesti jokapäiväisessä elämässä

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	26%	256	1003
Melko tärkeä	59%	596	
Ei kovin tärkeä	13%	133	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	15	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	3	

<K22L>

Hankkia vaikutusvaltaa työelämässä ja sen ulkopuolella

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	9%	86	1003
Melko tärkeä	33%	333	
Ei kovin tärkeä	49%	488	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	9%	91	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	5	

<K22M>

Noudattaa terveitä elämäntapoja

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	38%	380	1003
Melko tärkeä	52%	519	
Ei kovin tärkeä	9%	93	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	10	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K22N>

Toimia siten, että maailmasta tulisi parempi paikka elää

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	35%	355	1003
Melko tärkeä	53%	527	
Ei kovin tärkeä	10%	105	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	1%	11	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	5	

<K22O>

Hankkia hyvä tulo- ja ansiotaso

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	19%	195	1003
Melko tärkeä	58%	583	
Ei kovin tärkeä	20%	204	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	2%	20	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<K22P>

Jatkuva opiskelu ja itsensä kehittäminen myös vapaa-aikana

LUETTELE TARVITTAESSA 1-4

Erittäin tärkeä	23%	233	1003
Melko tärkeä	50%	502	
Ei kovin tärkeä	22%	220	
Ei lainkaan tärkeä	5%	47	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

| Lopuksi kysyisin joitakin taustatietoja tulosten tilastollista käsittelyä |
varten.

<T3> Mikä on ammatillinen koulutuksesi?

LUETTELE 1-6			
Ei ammatillista koulutusta	32%	324	1003
Ammattikurssi, lyhyt ammatillinen koulutus, koulutus työn ohessa	5%	50	
Koulutasoinen ammattikoulutus	25%	252	
Opistotasoinen ammattikoulutus	24%	242	
Yliopisto- tai korkeakoulututkinto	12%	116	
Joku muu	2%	19	
Ei halua sanoa	0%	0	

<T4> Mikä seuraavista vaihtoehdoista kuvaa pääasiallista toimintaasi tällä
hetkellä?

LUETTELE 1-6			
Olen opiskelemassa	39%	395	1003
Olen kokopäivätyössä	40%	403	
Olen osa-aikatyössä	5%	50	
Olen työttömänä tai työllisyyskoulutuksessa	8%	84	
Olen koti-äiti tai koti-isä	5%	47	
Jokin muu	2%	24	
Ei halua sanoa	0%	0	

Jos kokopäivä- tai osa-aikatyössä

<T5> Ammattiryhmä, johon katsot lähinnä kuuluvasi?

LUETTELE 1-10			
Johtavassa asemassa toisen palveluksessa	3%	13	453
Ylempi toimihenkilö	14%	64	
Alempi toimihenkilö	15%	69	
Työntekijä	58%	264	
Yrittäjä tai yksityinen ammatinharjoittaja	7%	32	
Maatalousyrittäjä	1%	6	
Opiskelija	1%	4	
Kotiäiti/-rouva tai koti-isä	0%	0	
Työtön tai lomautettu	0%	0	
Jokin muu	0%	0	
Ei halua sanoa/eos	0%	1	

<T6> Asutko..

Yksin	21%	215	1003
Yhdessä vanhempien tai jomman kumman vanhemman kanssa	22%	217	
Yhdessä käämpäkaverin tai -kavereiden kanssa (solu tms.)	6%	64	
Yhdessä kumppanin tai puolison kanssa, ei lapsia	30%	299	
Yksinhuoltajana omien lasten kanssa	1%	9	
Yhdessä kumppanin tai puolison sekä lasten kanssa	19%	193	
Jokin muu, mikä	1%	6	
Ei halua sanoa	0%	0	
-----	0%	0	
-----	0%	0	

<T7> Asutko..

LUETTELE 1-4			
Omistusasunnossa	43%	428	1003
Vuokralla	55%	551	
Asumisoikeusasunnossa	1%	10	
Jokin muu	1%	14	
Ei halua sanoa	0%	0	

<T8> Oletko itse ollut työttömänä viimeksi kuluneen 12 kuukauden aikana?

Kyllä	22%	217	1003
Ei	78%	785	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

JOS KYLLÄ t8

<T9> Kuinka monta kuukautta yhteensä? 1-12 kk
EOS PAINA F14

+---+---+ 4 0 12

<T10> Entä oletko ollut työttömänä vähintään kuusi (6) kuukautta viimeksi
kuluneiden kolmen vuoden aikana

Kyllä	16%	164	1003
Ei	84%	838	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	1	

<T11>

Onko sinulla käytössä...

LUETTELE

Kotonasi perinteinen lankapuhelin	4%	42	1003
Ainoastaan matkapuhelin vai	45%	450	
Sekä matkapuhelin että kotona perinteinen lankapuhelin	51%	511	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<T12>

Käytätkö internetiä...

LUETTELE 1-5

Kotoa	33%	328	1003
Työpaikalta	24%	244	
Opiskelupaikasta	33%	329	
Kirjastosta	10%	99	
Jostain muulta	8%	79	
Ei mistään	23%	234	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

JOS KÄYTTÄÄ INTERNETIÄ

<T13>

Kuinka usein käytät internetiä?

LUETTELE 1-5

Päivittäin useita kertoja päivässä	27%	210	769
5-7 päivänä viikossa	18%	141	
3-4 päivänä viikossa	15%	114	
1-2 päivänä viikossa	22%	167	
Harvemmin	18%	137	
Ei osaa sanoa	0%	0	

<T14>

Seuraavat yleiset vaalit ovat ensi lokakuussa järjestettävät kunnallisvaalit.
Kuinka varmasti aiot käydä äänestämässä tulevissa kunnallisvaaleissa?

LUETTELE 1-4

Varmasti	38%	379	1003
Luultavasti	41%	413	
Luultavasti en	16%	157	
Varmasti en	3%	31	
En osaa sanoa	2%	23	